arXiv:1605.04198v1 [math.DS] 13 May 2016

Degree, mixing, and absolutely continuous spectrum of cocycles with values in compact Lie groups

R. Tiedra de Aldecoa*

Facultad de Matemáticas, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile,
Av. Vicuña Mackenna 4860, Santiago, Chile

E-mail: *rtiedra@mat.puc.cl*

Abstract

We consider skew products transformations

$$T_\phi : X \times G \to X \times G, \quad (x, g) \mapsto \big(F_1(x), g\,\phi(x)\big),$$

where X is a compact manifold with probability measure μ_X, G a compact Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, $F_1 : X \to X$ the time-one map of a measure-preserving flow, and $\phi \in C^1(X, G)$ a cocycle. Then, we define the degree of ϕ as a suitable function $P_\phi M_\phi : X \to \mathfrak{g}$, we show that the degree of ϕ transforms in a natural way under Lie group homomorphisms and under the relation of C^1-cohomology, and we explain how it generalises previous definitions of degree of a cocycle. For each finite-dimensional irreducible unitary representation π of G, and $\mathfrak{g}_\pi$ the Lie algebra of $\pi(G)$, we define in an analogous way the degree of $\pi \circ \phi$ as a suitable function $P_{\pi\circ\phi} M_{\pi\circ\phi} : X \to \mathfrak{g}_\pi$. If F_1 is uniquely ergodic and the functions $\pi \circ \phi$ are diagonal, or if T_ϕ is uniquely ergodic, then the degree of ϕ reduces to a constant in $\mathfrak{g}$ given by an integral (average) over X. As a by-product, we obtain that there does not exist uniquely ergodic skew products T_ϕ with nonzero degree if G is a connected semisimple compact Lie group.

Next, we show that T_ϕ is mixing in the orthocomplement of the kernel of $P_{\pi\circ\phi} M_{\pi\circ\phi}$, and under some additional assumptions we show that U_ϕ has purely absolutely continuous spectrum in that orthocomplement if $(i P_{\pi\circ\phi} M_{\pi\circ\phi})^2$ is strictly positive. Summing up these individual results for each representation π, one obtains a global result for the mixing property and the absolutely continuous spectrum of T_ϕ. As an application, we present in more detail four explicit cases: when G is a torus, $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$, $G = \mathrm{SO}(3, \mathbb{R})$, and $G = \mathrm{U}(2)$. In each case, the results we obtain are either new, or generalise previous results in particular situations.

Our proofs rely on new results on positive commutator methods for unitary operators in Hilbert spaces.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 37A25, 37A30, 37C40, 47A35, 58J51.

Keywords: Cocycles, skew products, Lie groups, degree, mixing, continuous spectrum, commutator methods.

1 Introduction and main results

Let $T_\phi : \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{T}^2$ be the skew product transformation of the 2-torus given by

$$T_\phi(x, z) := \big(x\,\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\alpha}, z\,\phi(x)\big), \quad \phi \in C^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{T}),\ \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}. \tag{1.1}$$

*Supported by the Chilean Fondecyt Grant 1130168 and by the Iniciativa Cientifica Milenio ICM RC120002 "Mathematical Physics" from the Chilean Ministry of Economy.

Since the works of H. Anzai [2] and H. Furstenberg [14], it is known that the scalar

$$M_{\phi,\star} := \int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathbb{T}}(x) \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0} \phi\big(x\,\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i t\alpha}\big) \right) \phi(x)^{-1} \in i\mathbb{R} \quad (\mu_{\mathbb{T}} \text{ Haar measure on } \mathbb{T}), \tag{1.2}$$

called degree of the cocycle ϕ, plays an important role in the study of the ergodic properties and spectral properties of T_ϕ. In particular, if $M_{\phi,\star} \neq 0$ and ϕ is absolutely continuous, then T_ϕ is uniquely ergodic with respect to the product measure $\mu_{\mathbb{T}} \otimes \mu_{\mathbb{T}}$ and T_ϕ is mixing in the orthocomplement of functions depending only on the first variable [20]. Furthermore, if the derivative of ϕ satisfies some additional regularity assumption (for instance bounded variation or Dini-continuity), then T_ϕ has even Lebesgue spectrum with uniform countable multiplicity in the orthocomplement of functions depending only on the first variable [20, 33].

Some results on the degree of cocycles ϕ and its relation with the ergodic properties and spectral properties of skew products T_ϕ have also been obtained for more general cocycles $\phi : X \to G$ from a standard Borel space X to a compact Lie group G (see for instance [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 19, 20, 21, 31, 32, 33]). However, in each case, some significant restriction is imposed on the cocycle ϕ : the base space X is assumed to be a torus, the fiber group G is assumed to be particular compact Lie group (torus, SU(2), semisimple, ...), the cocycle ϕ is assumed to be cohomologous in some way to a diagonal cocycle, etc. Our purpose in this paper is to present a mathematical framework suited for a general definition of the degree a cocycle ϕ with values in a compact Lie group G and the obtention of mixing and spectral properties of associated skew products T_ϕ, without imposing any of the aforementioned restriction.

Our results are the following. We consider skew products

$$T_\phi : X \times G \to X \times G, \quad (x, g) \mapsto \big(F_1(x), g\,\phi(x)\big),$$

where X is a compact manifold with probability measure μ_X, G a compact Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and normalised Haar measure μ_G, $F_1 : X \to X$ the time-one map of a C^1 measure-preserving flow $\{F_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ on X, and $\phi \in C^1(X, G)$ a cocycle. The base space X and the diffeomorphism F_1 are chosen in this way to have at disposition analogues of the derivative $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\big|_{t=0}$ and the flow $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R} \ni (x, t) \mapsto x\,\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i t\alpha} \in \mathbb{T}$ appearing in the particular case (1.1)-(1.2).

Motivated by previous definitions of K. Frączek, N. Karaliolios and the author [12, 21, 31], we define the degree of the cocycle $\phi : X \to G$ as a function $P_\phi M_\phi : X \to \mathfrak{g}$ given in terms of the iterates of the Koopmann operator U_ϕ of T_ϕ in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} := \mathrm{L}^2(X \times G, \mu_X \otimes \mu_G)$ (Lemmas 3.3 and 3.6). We show that the degree of ϕ transforms in a natural way under Lie group homomorphisms and under the relation of C^1-cohomology (Lemma 3.8(a)-(b)) and we explain how it generalises previous definitions of degree of a cocycle (Remark 3.12). In an analogous way, for each finite-dimensional irreducible unitary representation π of G, and $\mathfrak{g}_\pi$ the Lie algebra of $\pi(G)$, we define the degree of the cocycle $\pi\circ\phi : X \to \pi(G)$ as a function $P_{\pi\circ\phi} M_{\pi\circ\phi} : X \to \mathfrak{g}_\pi$ given in terms of the iterates of U_ϕ in the subspaces $\mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi)} \subset \mathcal{H}$ associated to π. We show that the degree of $\pi \circ \phi$ is equal to the differential $(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(\cdot)\big)$ of $P_\phi M_\phi$ (Lemma 3.6) and that it transforms in a natural way under Lie group homomorphisms and under the relation of C^1-cohomology (Lemma 3.8(c)-(d)). Also, we present two particular cases where the degree of ϕ takes a simple form. First, we show in Lemma 3.14 that if F_1 is uniquely ergodic and the functions $\pi \circ \phi$ are diagonal (as in the particular case (1.1)-(1.2)), then the degree of ϕ reduces to the constant degree

$$M_{\phi,\star} = \int_X \mathrm{d}\mu_X(x)\, (\mathscr{L}_Y \phi)(x)\phi(x)^{-1} \in \mathfrak{g}, \tag{1.3}$$

with $\mathscr{L}_Y$ the Lie derivative for the flow $\{F_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$. Second, we show in Lemma 3.15 that if T_ϕ is uniquely ergodic, then the degree of ϕ reduces to the constant degree

$$M_{\phi,\star} = \int_{X\times G} \mathrm{d}(\mu_X \otimes \mu_G)(x, g)\ \mathrm{Ad}_g\left((\mathscr{L}_Y \phi)(x)\phi(x)^{-1}\right) \in \mathfrak{g}, \tag{1.4}$$

with Ad_g the inner automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$ induced by $g \in G$. The formulas (1.3) and (1.4) generalise the formula (1.2) for the degree of ϕ. As a by-product of formula (1.4), we obtain criteria for the non (unique) ergodicity of skew products T_ϕ with $\phi \in C^1(X, G)$ (Theorem 3.16), and we show that if G is connected and T_ϕ is uniquely ergodic, then the degree belongs to the center $z(\mathfrak{g})$ of Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ (Remark 3.17(a)). This implies in particular that there does not exist uniquely ergodic skew products T_ϕ with $\phi \in C^1(X, G)$ and nonzero degree if G is a connected semisimple compact Lie group (Remark 3.17(b)).

Next, we present criteria for the mixing property of U_ϕ and the absolute continuity of the spectrum of U_ϕ in the subspaces $\mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi)}$. Namely, we show that U_ϕ is mixing in the orthocomplement of the kernel of $P_{\pi\circ\phi}M_{\pi\circ\phi}$ in $\mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi)}$ (Theorem 3.10), and under some additional assumptions we show that U_ϕ has purely absolutely continuous spectrum in $\mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi)}$ if $(iP_{\pi\circ\phi}M_{\pi\circ\phi})^2$ is strictly positive (Theorem 3.11). We also present a simplified version of these results when F_1 uniquely ergodic and the functions $\pi \circ \phi$ are diagonal, and when T_ϕ is uniquely ergodic (Corollaries 3.18 and 3.19). Summing up these individual results in the subspaces $\mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi)}$, one obtains a global result for the mixing property and the absolutely continuous spectrum of U_ϕ in the whole Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$.

As an application, we present in more detail four explicit cases: when $G = \mathbb{T}^{d'}$ ($d' \in \mathbb{N}^*$), $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$, $G = \mathrm{SO}(3, \mathbb{R})$, and $G = \mathrm{U}(2)$. In the case $G = \mathbb{T}^{d'}$ (Section 4.1), we prove the mixing property and the absolute continuity of the spectrum of U_ϕ in the orthocomplement of functions depending only on the first variable for cocycles with nonzero degree and suitable regularity (see (4.1) and (4.3)). These results extend similar results in the particular case $X \times G = \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{T}^{d'}$, $d, d' \in \mathbb{N}^*$ [2, 5, 11, 18, 19, 20, 24, 31, 32]. In the case $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$ (Section 4.2), there is no uniquely ergodic skew product T_ϕ with $\phi \in C^1\big(X, \mathrm{SU}(2)\big)$ and nonzero degree because $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ is a connected semisimple compact Lie group. But, using a result of K. Frączek [12], we obtain that if F_1 is ergodic then any cocycle $\phi \in C^1\big(X, \mathrm{SU}(2)\big)$ is cohomologous to a diagonal cocycle $\delta : X \to \mathrm{SU}(2)$. Assuming F_1 uniquely ergodic and imposing suitable regularity assumptions on ϕ and δ, we then show the mixing property and the absolute continuity of the spectrum of U_ϕ in appropriate subspaces of $\mathcal{H}$ (Theorems 4.3 and 4.4). These results extend similar results of K. Frączek in the particular case $X \times G = \mathbb{T} \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$. In the case $G = \mathrm{SO}(3, \mathbb{R})$ (Section 4.3), there is no uniquely ergodic skew product T_ϕ with $\phi \in C^1\big(X, \mathrm{SO}(3, \mathbb{R})\big)$ and nonzero degree because $\mathrm{SO}(3, \mathbb{R})$ is a connected semisimple compact Lie group. But, we present the representation theory needed to apply our results and explain how to show the mixing property and the absolute continuity of the spectrum of U_ϕ in appropriate subspaces of $\mathcal{H}$ under the assumption that F_1 is uniquely ergodic and the functions $\pi \circ \phi$ diagonal. In the case $G = \mathrm{U}(2)$ (Section 4.4), we prove the mixing property and the absolute continuity of the spectrum of U_ϕ in appropriate subspaces of $\mathcal{H}$ for cocycles $\phi \in C^1\big(X, \mathrm{U}(2)\big)$ with T_ϕ uniquely ergodic, nonzero degree, and suitable regularity (Theorems 4.5 and 4.6). Also, using results of L. H. Eliasson and X. Hou [9, 17], we present an explicit example of skew-product T_ϕ satisfying the assumptions of Theorems 4.5 and 4.6, namely, a skew-product T_ϕ with $\phi \in C^\infty\big(\mathbb{T}^d; \mathrm{U}(2)\big)$ ($d \in \mathbb{N}^*$), T_ϕ uniquely ergodic, and nonzero degree (Example 4.7). These results for $G = \mathrm{U}(2)$ are new.

To conclude, we give a brief description of the methods we use to prove our results. In Section 2, we consider an abstract unitary operator U in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and recall from [28, 32] the following result: If there exists an auxiliary self-adjoint operator A in $\mathcal{H}$ such that $[A, U]$ is bounded in some suitable sense and such that the strong limit

$$D := \operatorname*{s-lim}_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N}\big[A, U^N\big]U^{-N}$$

exists, then U is mixing in $\ker(D)^\perp$. Building on this result, we determine conditions under which the operator U has in fact purely absolutely continuous spectrum in the subspace $\ker(D)^\perp$. To do this, we introduce in Lemma 2.4 and Proposition 2.5 a new family of (Cesàro-averaged) self-ajoint operators

$$A_{D,N} := \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} U^n(AD + DA)U^{-n}, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Then, we show that the operator U satisfies suitable regularity conditions with respect $A_{D,N}$ (Lemma 2.6)

and a positive commutator estimate with $A_{D,N}$ (Proposition 2.7). Combining these results with known results on commutator methods for unitary operators [10], we obtain a general criterion for the absolute continuity of the spectrum of U in the subspace $\ker(D)^\perp$ (Theorem 2.8). Finally, we apply in Section 3 these abstract results to the Koopmann operator U_ϕ in the Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi)}$, with the auxiliary operator A defined in terms of the Lie derivative $\mathscr{L}_Y$ (Lemma 3.5). Doing so, we obtain from the abstract theory our results on the mixing property and the absolutely continuous spectrum of U_ϕ (Theorems 3.10 and Theorem 3.11), and we show that the degree $P_{\pi\circ\phi}M_{\pi\circ\phi}$ of $\pi\circ\phi$ is nothing else but the value of the operator D above in the particular case $U = U_\phi$ and A given by $\mathscr{L}_Y$ (Lemma 3.9).

Acknowledgements. The author thanks E. Emsiz, K. Frączek, N. Karaliolios, S. Richard and I. Zurrián for useful discussions.

2 Commutator methods for unitary operators

In this section, we present an abstract method for the construction of a conjugate operator and the proof of a Mourre estimate for a general class of unitary operators. To do so, we start by recalling some facts borrowed from [1, 10, 28, 32] on commutator methods for unitary operators and regularity classes associated with them.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space with scalar product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ antilinear in the first argument, denote by $\mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the set of bounded linear operators on $\mathcal{H}$, and write $\|\cdot\|$ both for the norm on $\mathcal{H}$ and the norm on $\mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Let A be a self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$ with domain $\mathcal{D}(A)$, and let $S \in \mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

(i) We say that S belongs to $C^{+0}(A)$, with notation $S \in C^{+0}(A)$, if S satisfies the Dini-type condition

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t} \left\| \mathrm{e}^{-itA} S \mathrm{e}^{itA} - S \right\| < \infty.$$

(ii) For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we say that S belongs to $C^k(A)$, with notation $S \in C^k(A)$, if the map

$$\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto \mathrm{e}^{-itA} S \mathrm{e}^{itA} \in \mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H}) \tag{2.1}$$

is strongly of class C^k. In the case $k = 1$, one has $S \in C^1(A)$ if and only if the quadratic form

$$\mathcal{D}(A) \ni \varphi \mapsto \langle \varphi, SA\varphi\rangle - \langle A\varphi, S\varphi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}$$

is continuous for the topology induced by $\mathcal{H}$ on $\mathcal{D}(A)$. We denote by $[S, A]$ the bounded operator associated with the continuous extension of this form, or equivalently $-i$ times the strong derivative of the function (2.1) at $t = 0$.

(iii) We say that S belongs to $C^{1+0}(A)$, with notation $S \in C^{1+0}(A)$, if $S \in C^1(A)$ and $[A, S] \in C^{+0}(A)$.

As banachisable topological vector spaces, the sets $C^2(A)$, $C^{1+0}(A)$, $C^1(A)$, $C^{+0}(A)$ and $C^0(A) = \mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H})$ satisfy the continuous inclusions [1, Sec. 5.2.4]

$$C^2(A) \subset C^{1+0}(A) \subset C^1(A) \subset C^{+0}(A) \subset C^0(A).$$

Let $U \in C^1(A)$ be a unitary operator with (complex) spectral measure $E^U(\cdot)$ and spectrum $\sigma(U) \subset \mathbb{S}^1 := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$. If there exist a Borel set $\Theta \subset \mathbb{S}^1$, a number $a > 0$, and a compact operator $K \in \mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$E^U(\Theta)U^{-1}[A, U]E^U(\Theta) \geq aE^U(\Theta) + K, \tag{2.2}$$

one says that U satisfies a Mourre estimate on Θ and that A is a conjugate operator for U on Θ. Also, one says that U satisfies a strict Mourre estimate on Θ if (2.2) holds with $K = 0$. One of the consequences of a Mourre estimate is to imply spectral properties for U on Θ. We recall these spectral properties in the case $U \in C^{1+0}(A)$ (see [10, Thm. 2.7 & Rem. 2.8]):

Theorem 2.1 (Spectral properties of U)**.** *Let U be a unitary operator in $\mathcal{H}$ and let A be a self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$ with $U \in C^{1+0}(A)$. Suppose there exist an open set $\Theta \subset \mathbb{S}^1$, a number $a > 0$, and a compact operator K in $\mathcal{H}$ such that*

$$E^U(\Theta)U^{-1}[A,U]E^U(\Theta) \geq aE^U(\Theta) + K. \tag{2.3}$$

Then, U has at most finitely many eigenvalues in Θ, each one of finite multiplicity, and U has no singular continuous spectrum in Θ. Furthermore, if (2.3) holds with $K = 0$, then U has no singular spectrum in Θ.

We also recall a result on the mixing property of U in the case $U \in C^1(A)$ (see [28, Cor. 2.5 & Rem. 2.6] and [32, Thm. 3.2]):

Theorem 2.2 (Mixing property of U)**.** *Let U be a unitary operator in $\mathcal{H}$ and let A be a self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$ with $U \in C^1(A)$. Assume that the strong limit*

$$D := \operatorname*{s-lim}_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N}\big[A, U^N\big]U^{-N}$$

exists. Then,

(a) $\lim_{N\to\infty} \langle \varphi, U^N\psi\rangle = 0$ *for each* $\varphi \in \ker(D)^\perp$ *and* $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$*,*

(b) $U|_{\ker(D)^\perp}$ *has purely continuous spectrum.*

If $U \in C^1(A)$, then $U^N \in C^1(A)$ for each $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ [1, Prop. 5.1.5]. Thus, all the operators $\frac{1}{N}\big[A, U^N\big]U^{-N}$ are bounded and self-adjoint, and so is their strong limit D. Also, one has $[D, U^n] = 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, $\ker(D)^\perp$ is a reducing subspace for U, and the restriction $U|_{\ker(D)^\perp}$ is a well-defined unitary operator [35, Ex. 5.39(b)]. Furthermore, if U and $\widetilde{U}$ are unitarily equivalent unitary operators, then their strong limits D and $\widetilde{D}$ are unitarily equivalent. More precisely:

Lemma 2.3 (Invariance of D)**.** *Let U be a unitary operator in $\mathcal{H}$ and let A be a self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$ with $U \in C^1(A)$. Assume that the strong limit $D = \operatorname{s-lim}_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N}\big[A, U^N\big]U^{-N}$ exists. Then, if $\widetilde{U} := VUV^{-1}$ with V a unitary operator in $\mathcal{H}$ such that $V \in C^1(A)$, the strong limit*

$$\widetilde{D} := \operatorname*{s-lim}_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N}\big[A, \widetilde{U}^N\big]\widetilde{U}^{-N}$$

also exists, and satisfies $\widetilde{D} = VDV^{-1}$.

Proof. Since U and V belong to $C^1(A)$, the operator V^{-1} and the product $\widetilde{U} = VUV^{-1}$ also belong to $C^1(A)$ (see [1, Prop. 5.1.5 & 5.1.6(a)]). Thus, the commutators $[A, VU^NV^{-1}]$, $[A, V^{-1}]$, $[A, U^N]$ and $[A, V]$ are well-defined, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{D} &= \operatorname*{s-lim}_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N}\big[A, \widetilde{U}^N\big]\widetilde{U}^{-N} \\
&= \operatorname*{s-lim}_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N}\big[A, VU^NV^{-1}\big]VU^{-N}V^{-1} \\
&= \operatorname*{s-lim}_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N}\big(VU^N\big[A, V^{-1}\big] + V\big[A, U^N\big]V^{-1} + [A,V]U^NV^{-1}\big)VU^{-N}V^{-1} \\
&= 0 + V\left(\operatorname*{s-lim}_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N}\big[A, U^N\big]U^{-N}\right)V^{-1} + 0 \\
&= VDV^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

In the rest of the section, we build on Theorem 2.2 and determine conditions under which the unitary operator U of Theorem 2.2 has purely absolutely continuous spectrum in $\ker(D)^\perp$. To do so, we construct a conjugate operator for U using the operators A and D of Theorem 2.2. We start with a simple, but useful, lemma on self-adjoint operators:

Lemma 2.4. *Let A be a self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$ and let $B = B^* \in \mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $B \in C^1(A)$.*

(a) The operator

$$\mathscr{A}_B\varphi := (AB + BA)\varphi, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(A),$$

is essentially self-adjoint in $\mathcal{H}$, and its closure $A_B := \overline{\mathscr{A}_B}$ has domain

$$\mathcal{D}(A_B) = \big\{\varphi \in \mathcal{H} \mid B\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(A)\big\}.$$

(b) If $C \in \mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H})$ satisfies $C \in C^1(A)$ and $[B, C] = 0$, then $C \in C^1(A_B)$ with $[C, A_B] = [C, A]B + B[C, A]$.

Proof. (a) The proof is inspired from that of [1, Lemma 7.2.15]. Since $B \in C^1(A)$, one has $B\,\mathcal{D}(A) \subset \mathcal{D}(A)$, and thus $\mathscr{A}_B$ is a well-defined symmetric operator on $\mathcal{D}(A)$. Furthermore, one has for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(A)$

$$\mathscr{A}_B\varphi = (AB + BA)\varphi = \big([A, B] + 2BA\big)\varphi.$$

Therefore, the identity $[A, B]^* = [B, A]$ [1, Lemma 7.2.15] and the usual properties of the adjoint [35, Thm. 4.19(b) & 4.20(c)] imply

$$(\mathscr{A}_B)^* = \big([A, B] + 2BA\big)^* = [B, A] + 2AB \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{D}\big((\mathscr{A}_B)^*\big) = \mathcal{D}(AB) = \big\{\varphi \in \mathcal{H} \mid B\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(A)\big\}.$$

Since $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is a core for AB [1, p. 297-298], it follows that $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is a also core for $(\mathscr{A}_B)^*$. So, for each $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}\big((\mathscr{A}_B)^*\big)$ there exist $\{\varphi_n\}, \{\psi_n\} \subset \mathcal{D}(A)$ such that

$$\lim_n \|\varphi - \varphi_n\|_{\mathcal{D}((\mathscr{A}_B)^*)} = \lim_n \|\psi - \psi_n\|_{\mathcal{D}((\mathscr{A}_B)^*)} = 0,$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\big\langle \varphi, (\mathscr{A}_B)^*\psi\big\rangle &= \lim_n \lim_m \big\langle \varphi_n, \big([B, A] + 2AB\big)\psi_m\big\rangle \\
&= \lim_n \lim_m \big\langle \big([B, A]^* + 2BA\big)\varphi_n, \psi_m\big\rangle \\
&= \lim_n \lim_m \big\langle \big([A, B] + 2BA\big)\varphi_n, \psi_m\big\rangle \\
&= \lim_n \lim_m \big\langle \big([B, A] + 2AB\big)\varphi_n, \psi_m\big\rangle \\
&= \big\langle (\mathscr{A}_B)^*\varphi, \psi\big\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(\mathscr{A}_B)^*$ is symmetric, and thus $\mathscr{A}_B$ is essentially self-adjoint with $\overline{\mathscr{A}_B} = (\mathscr{A}_B)^*$ and

$$\mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathscr{A}_B}) = \mathcal{D}\big((\mathscr{A}_B)^*\big) = \mathcal{D}(AB) = \big\{\varphi \in \mathcal{H} \mid B\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(A)\big\}.$$

(b) Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(A)$. Then, the inclusions $B, C \in C^1(A)$ and the relation $[B, C] = 0$ imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
\big\langle \varphi, CA_B\varphi\big\rangle - \big\langle A_B\varphi, C\varphi\big\rangle &= \big\langle \varphi, C(AB + BA)\varphi\big\rangle - \big\langle \varphi, (AB + BA)C\varphi\big\rangle \\
&= \big\langle \varphi, \big([C, A]B + B[C, A]\big)\varphi\big\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is a core for A_B, it follows that $C \in C^1(A_B)$ with $[C, A_B] = [C, A]B + B[C, A]$. □

Using Lemma 2.4, we can define and prove the self-adjointness of a suitable conjugate operator for U. This conjugate operator is an amelioration of the conjugate operator introduced in [10, Sec. 4] taking into account the existence of the limit D.

Proposition 2.5 (Conjugate operator for U)**.** *Let U be a unitary operator in $\mathcal{H}$ and let A be a self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$ with $U \in C^1(A)$. Assume that the strong limit $D = \text{s-lim}_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N}\big[A, U^N\big]U^{-N}$ exists and satisfies $D \in C^1(A)$. Then, the operator*

$$A_{D,N}\varphi := \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} U^n A_D U^{-n}\varphi, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}^*, \ \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(A_{D,N}) := \mathcal{D}(A_D),$$

is self-adjoint in $\mathcal{H}$, and $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is a core for $A_{D,N}$.

Proof. D is a bounded self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$ with $D \in C^1(A)$. So, Lemma 2.4(a) implies that A_D is self-adjoint on $\mathcal{D}(A_D) = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{H} \mid D\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(A)\}$, and that $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is a core for A_D. Furthermore, since $U^n \in C^1(A)$ and $[D, U^n] = 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we know from Lemma 2.4(b) that $U^n \in C^1(A_D)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, $U^{-n}\,\mathcal{D}(A_D) \subset \mathcal{D}(A_D)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $A_{D,N}$ is a well-defined symmetric operator on $\mathcal{D}(A_D)$. Finally, we have for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(A_D)$

$$A_{D,N}\varphi = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} U^n A_D U^{-n}\varphi = A_D\varphi + \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}[U^n, A_D]U^{-n}\varphi \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}[U^n, A_D]U^{-n} \in \mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H}). \tag{2.4}$$

Therefore, $A_{D,N}$ is self-adjoint on $\mathcal{D}(A_{D,N}) = \mathcal{D}(A_D)$ and $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is a core for $A_{D,N}$. □

Lemma 2.6. *Let U be a unitary operator in $\mathcal{H}$ and let A be a self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$ with $U \in C^1(A)$. Assume that the strong limit $D = \text{s-lim}_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N}\big[A, U^N\big]U^{-N}$ exists and satisfies $D \in C^1(A)$. Then, for each $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$,*

(a) $U \in C^1(A_{D,N})$ with $\big[A_{D,N}, U\big] = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} U^n\big([A, U]D + D[A, U]\big)U^{-n}$,

(b) if $[A, U] \in C^{+0}(A_D)$, then $U \in C^{1+0}(A_{D,N})$,

(c) if $[A, U] \in C^1(A)$ and $[A, D] = 0$, then $U \in C^2(A_{D,N})$.

In view of the results (b) and (c), we wonder if the following is also true: If $[A, U] \in C^{+0}(A)$ and $[A, D] = 0$, then we have $U \in C^{1+0}(A_{D,N})$? Answering this question in the affirmative could allow to relax some regularity assumptions in applications.

Proof. (a) Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(A)$. Then, the inclusion $U \in C^1(A)$ and the relation $[D, U] = 0$ imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
\big\langle A_{D,N}\varphi, U\varphi\big\rangle - \big\langle \varphi, UA_{D,N}\varphi\big\rangle &= \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\Big(\big\langle U^n A_D U^{-n}\varphi, U\varphi\big\rangle - \big\langle \varphi, UU^n A_D U^{-n}\varphi\big\rangle\Big) \\
&= \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\Big(\big\langle \varphi, U^n(AD + DA)U^{-n}U\varphi\big\rangle - \big\langle \varphi, UU^n(AD + DA)U^{-n}\varphi\big\rangle\Big) \\
&= \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\big\langle \varphi, U^n\big([A, U]D + D[A, U]\big)U^{-n}\varphi\big\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is a core for $A_{D,N}$, it follows that $U \in C^1(A_{D,N})$ with

$$\big[A_{D,N}, U\big] = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} U^n\big([A, U]D + D[A, U]\big)U^{-n}.$$

(b) We know from point (a) that $U \in C^1(A_{D,N})$ with $\big[A_{D,N}, U\big] = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} U^n\big([A, U]D + D[A, U]\big)U^{-n}$. Therefore, it is sufficient to show for $n \in \{0, \ldots, N-1\}$ that

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t}\,\big\|\,\mathrm{e}^{-itA_{D,N}}\,B_n\,\mathrm{e}^{itA_{D,N}} - B_n\big\| < \infty \quad \text{with} \quad B_n := U^n\big([A, U]D + D[A, U]\big)U^{-n}.$$

But, for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(A_D)$ we obtain from (2.4)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{e}^{itA_{D,N}}\,\varphi - \mathrm{e}^{itA_D}\,\varphi &= \int_0^t \mathrm{d}s\,\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}\big(\,\mathrm{e}^{isA_{D,N}}\,\mathrm{e}^{-isA_D} - 1\big)\,\mathrm{e}^{itA_D}\,\varphi \\ &= \int_0^t \mathrm{d}s\;\mathrm{e}^{isA_{D,N}}\,\frac{i}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}[U^n, A_D]U^{-n}\,\mathrm{e}^{i(t-s)A_D}\,\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

So, there exists $C_t \in \mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\|C_t\| \leq \text{Const.}\,|t|$ such that $\mathrm{e}^{itA_{D,N}} = \mathrm{e}^{itA_D} + C_t$, and thus

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t}\,\big\|\,\mathrm{e}^{-itA_{D,N}}\,B_n\,\mathrm{e}^{itA_{D,N}} - B_n\big\| \leq \text{Const} + \int_0^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t}\,\big\|\,\mathrm{e}^{-itA_D}\,B_n\,\mathrm{e}^{itA_D} - B_n\big\|. \tag{2.5}$$

Now, the integral $\int_0^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t}\,\big\|\,\mathrm{e}^{-itA_D}\,S\,\mathrm{e}^{itA_D} - S\big\|$ is finite for $S = U^n$, $S = [A, U]$, $S = D$ and $S = U^{-n}$ due to the assumptions and Lemma 2.4(b). So, the integral on the r.h.s. of (2.5) is also finite (see [1, Prop. 5.2.3(b)]), and thus the claim is proved.

(c) We know from point (a) that $U \in C^1(A_{D,N})$ with $\big[A_{D,N}, U\big] = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} U^n\big([A, U]D + D[A, U]\big)U^{-n}$. Therefore, it is sufficient to show that $D \in C^1(A_{D,N})$ and $[A, U] \in C^1(A_{D,N})$. But, we know from (2.4) that

$$A_{D,N} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} U^n A_D U^{-n} = A_D + \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}[U^n, A_D]U^{-n} \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}[U^n, A_D]U^{-n} \in \mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H}).$$

So, $C^1(A_D) \subset C^1(A_{D,N})$, and thus it is sufficient to show that $D \in C^1(A_D)$ and $[A, U] \in C^1(A_D)$. Now, since $D \in C^1(A)$, the inclusion $D \in C^1(A_D)$ follows directly from Lemma 2.4(b). To show that $[A, U] \in C^1(A_D)$, let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(A)$. Then, the inclusions $U, D \in C^1(A)$ and the relations $[A, D] = [U, D] = 0$ imply

$$\big(D[A, U] - [A, U]D\big)\varphi = \big(DAU - DUA - AUD + UAD\big)\varphi = 0.$$

So, $\big[D, [A, U]\big] = 0$ due to the density of $\mathcal{D}(A)$ in $\mathcal{H}$. In consequence, the operator $[A, U] \in \mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H})$ satisfies $[A, U] \in C^1(A)$ and $\big[D, [A, U]\big] = 0$, and thus $[A, U] \in C^1(A_D)$ due to Lemma 2.4(b). □

In the next proposition, we prove a strict Mourre estimate for U in the subspace $\ker(D)^\perp$. The fact that we obtain a Mourre estimate for U with no compact term is consistent with the result of Theorem 2.2(b) on the absence of point spectrum of U in $\ker(D)^\perp$. The uniform limit in the next proposition (and in the sequel) refers to the limit in the topology of $\mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

Proposition 2.7 (Strict mourre estimate for U)**.** *Let U be a unitary operator in $\mathcal{H}$ and let A be a self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$ with $U \in C^1(A)$. Assume that the uniform limit $D = \text{u-lim}_{N\to\infty}\,\frac{1}{N}\big[A, U^N\big]U^{-N}$ exists and satisfies $D \in C^1(A)$. Then, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that*

$$U^{-1}\big[A_{D,N}, U\big] \geq 2D^2 - \varepsilon \quad \textit{for } N \geq N_\varepsilon.$$

In particular, if there exist an open set $\Theta \subset \mathbb{S}^1$ and $c_\Theta > 0$ such that $D^2 E^U(\Theta) \geq c_\Theta E^U(\Theta)$, then there exist $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $a > 0$ such that

$$E^U(\Theta)U^{-1}\big[A_{D,N}, U\big]E^U(\Theta) \geq aE^U(\Theta).$$

Proof. The limit D exists in the uniform topology. Therefore, the limit D also exists in the strong topology, and Lemma 2.6(a) and the relation $[D, U] = 0$ hold. Using these facts and the notation

$$D_N := \frac{1}{N}\big[A, U^N\big]U^{-N} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} U^n\big([A, U]U^{-1}\big)U^{-n}, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}^*,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} U^{-1}\big[A_{D,N},U\big] &= U^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}U^n\big([A,U]U^{-1}D+D[A,U]U^{-1}\big)U^{-n}\right)U \\ &= U^{-1}\big(D_ND+DD_N\big)U \\ &= 2D^2+U^{-1}\big((D_N-D)D+D(D_N-D)\big)U. \end{aligned}$$

Now, since $D\in\mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\lim_{N\to\infty}\|D_N-D\|=0$, there exists for each $\varepsilon>0$ an index $N_\varepsilon\in\mathbb{N}^*$ such that

$$\big\|\big(D_N-D\big)D+D\big(D_N-D\big)\big\|\leq\varepsilon\quad\text{for } N\geq N_\varepsilon.$$

It follows that

$$U^{-1}\big[A_{D,N},U\big]=2D^2-\varepsilon+U^{-1}\big(\varepsilon+\big(D_N-D\big)D+D\big(D_N-D\big)\big)U\geq 2D^2-\varepsilon\quad\text{for } N\geq N_\varepsilon. \tag{2.6}$$

To show the second claim, we note that if there exist an open set $\Theta\subset\mathbb{S}^1$ and $c_\Theta>0$ such that $D^2E^U(\Theta)\geq c_\Theta E^U(\Theta)$, then we obtain from (2.6) that

$$E^U(\Theta)U^{-1}\big[A_{D,N},U\big]E^U(\Theta)\geq E^U(\Theta)\big(2D^2-\varepsilon\big)E^U(\Theta)\geq\big(2c_\Theta-\varepsilon\big)E^U(\Theta)\quad\text{for } N\geq N_\varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary, this implies that there exist $N\in\mathbb{N}^*$ and $a>0$ such that

$$E^U(\Theta)U^{-1}\big[A_{D,N},U\big]E^U(\Theta)\geq aE^U(\Theta).$$

□

We conclude this section with a criterion for the absolute continuity of the spectrum of U in the subspace $\ker(D)^\perp$.

Theorem 2.8 (Absolutely continuous spectrum of U)**.** *Let U be a unitary operator in $\mathcal{H}$ and let A be a self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$ with $U\in C^1(A)$. Assume that the uniform limit $D=\text{u-}\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N}\big[A,U^N\big]U^{-N}$ exists, that $D\in C^1(A)$, that $[A,U]\in C^{+0}(A_D)$, and that there exist an open set $\Theta\subset\mathbb{S}^1$ and $c_\Theta>0$ such that*

$$D^2E^U(\Theta)\geq c_\Theta E^U(\Theta).$$

Then, U has purely absolutely continuous spectrum in $\Theta\cap\sigma(U)$.

Proof. Since the limit D exists in the uniform topology, the limit D also exists in the strong topology. So, Lemma 2.6(b) applies and $U\in C^{1+0}(A_{D,N})$. Moreover, we know from Proposition 2.7 that there exist $N\in\mathbb{N}^*$ and $a>0$ such that

$$E^U(\Theta)U^{-1}\big[A_{D,N},U\big]E^U(\Theta)\geq aE^U(\Theta).$$

Therefore, it follows by Theorem 2.1 that U has purely absolutely continuous spectrum in $\Theta\cap\sigma(U)$. □

3 Cocycles with values in compact Lie groups

In this section, we apply the theory of Section 2 to obtain general results on the degree, the strong mixing property, and the absolutely continuous spectrum of skew products transformations associated to cocycles with values in compact Lie groups. We start with the definition of the skew products transformations that we will consider.

Let X be a smooth compact second countable Hausdorff manifold with Borel probability measure μ_X, and let $\{F_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ be a C^1 measure-preserving flow on X. The family of composition operators $\{V_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ given by

$$V_t\varphi:=\varphi\circ F_t,\quad\varphi\in\mathrm{L}^2(X,\mu_X),$$

defines a strongly continuous one-parameter unitary group satisfying $V_t\, C^1(X) \subset C^1(X)$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, Nelson's criterion [27, Thm. VIII.10] implies that the generator of the group $\{V_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$

$$H\varphi := \operatorname*{s-lim}_{t\to 0} it^{-1}(V_t - 1)\varphi, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(H) := \left\{\varphi \in \mathsf{L}^2(X,\mu_X) \mid \lim_{t\to 0} |t|^{-1}\big\|(V_t-1)\varphi\big\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(X,\mu_X)} < \infty\right\},$$

is essentially self-adjoint on $C^1(X)$ and given by

$$H\varphi := i\mathscr{L}_Y\varphi, \quad \varphi \in C^1(X),$$

with Y the C^0 vector field of the flow $\{F_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathscr{L}_Y$ the corresponding Lie derivative.

Let G be a compact Lie group with normalised Haar measure μ_G and identity e_G. Then, each measurable function $\phi : X \to G$ induces a measurable cocycle $X \times \mathbb{Z} \ni (x,n) \mapsto \phi^{(n)}(x) \in G$ over F_1 given by

$$\phi^{(n)}(x) := \begin{cases} \phi(x)(\phi\circ F_1)(x)\cdots(\phi\circ F_{n-1})(x) & \text{if } n \geq 1 \\ e_G & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \big(\phi^{(-n)}\circ F_n\big)(x)^{-1} & \text{if } n \leq -1. \end{cases}$$

One thus calls cocycle any measurable function $\phi : X \to G$. The skew product associated to ϕ is the transformation

$$T_\phi : X \times G \to X \times G, \quad (x,g) \mapsto \big(F_1(x), g\phi(x)\big).$$

T_ϕ is an invertible automorphism of the measure space $(X \times G, \mu_X \otimes \mu_G)$ with iterates

$$T_\phi^n(x,g) = \big(F_n(x), g\phi^{(n)}(x)\big), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},\ g \in G,\ \mu_X\text{-almost every } x \in X. \tag{3.1}$$

The corresponding Koopman operator

$$U_\phi\psi := \psi \circ T_\phi, \quad \psi \in \mathcal{H} := \mathsf{L}^2(X \times G, \mu_X \otimes \mu_G),$$

is a unitary operator in $\mathcal{H}$.

Remark 3.1. *Two cocycles $\phi, \delta : X \to G$ are said to be cohomologous if there exists a measurable function $\zeta : X \to G$, called transfer function, such that*

$$\phi(x) = \zeta(x)^{-1}\,\delta(x)\,(\zeta\circ F_1)(x) \quad \text{for } \mu_X\text{-almost every } x \in X.$$

In such a case, the map $\iota : X \times G \to X \times G$ given by

$$\iota(x,g) := \big(x, g\zeta(x)\big), \quad g \in G,\ \mu_X\text{-almost every } x \in X,$$

is a metrical isomorphism of T_ϕ and T_δ [6, Thm. 10.2.1], the operator

$$S_\iota : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}, \quad \psi \mapsto \psi \circ \iota,$$

is unitary, and the Koopman operators U_ϕ and U_δ are unitarily equivalent with unitary equivalence given by $S_\iota U_\delta (S_\iota)^{-1} = U_\phi$ [6, Sec. 1.7].

Let $\widehat{G}$ be the set of (equivalence classes of) finite-dimensional irreducible unitary representations (IUR) of G. Then, each representation $\pi \in \widehat{G}$ is a C^∞ group homomorphism from G to the unitary group $\mathsf{U}(d_\pi)$ of degree $d_\pi := \dim(\pi)$, and Peter-Weyl's theorem implies that the set of all matrix elements $\{\pi_{jk}\}_{j,k=1}^{d_\pi}$ of all representations $\pi \in \widehat{G}$ forms an orthogonal basis of $\mathsf{L}^2(G,\mu_G)$ with orthogonality relation [22, Cor. 4.10(b)]

$$\big\langle \pi_{jk}, \pi_{j'k'}\big\rangle_{\mathsf{L}^2(G,\mu_G)} = (\mathrm{d}_\pi)^{-1}\,\delta_{jj'}\,\delta_{kk'}, \quad j,j',k,k' \in \{1,\ldots,d_\pi\}. \tag{3.2}$$

Accordingly, one has the orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\pi\in\widehat{G}} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{d_\pi} \mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi)} \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi)} := \bigoplus_{k=1}^{d_\pi} \mathrm{L}^2(X,\mu_X)\otimes\{\pi_{jk}\}. \tag{3.3}$$

A direct calculation shows that the operator U_ϕ is reduced by the decomposition (3.3), with restriction $U_{\phi,\pi,j} := U_\phi\big|_{\mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi)}}$ given by

$$U_{\phi,\pi,j}\sum_{k=1}^{d_\pi}\varphi_k\otimes\pi_{jk} = \sum_{k,\ell=1}^{d_\pi}\big(\varphi_k\circ F_1\big)\big(\pi_{\ell k}\circ\phi\big)\otimes\pi_{j\ell}, \quad \varphi_k\in\mathrm{L}^2(X,\mu_X).$$

This, together with (3.1), implies that

$$\big(U_{\phi,\pi,j}\big)^n\sum_{k=1}^{d_\pi}\varphi_k\otimes\pi_{jk} = \sum_{k,\ell=1}^{d_\pi}\big(\varphi_k\circ F_n\big)\big(\pi_{\ell k}\circ\phi^{(n)}\big)\otimes\pi_{j\ell}, \quad n\in\mathbb{Z},\ \varphi_k\in\mathrm{L}^2(X,\mu_X). \tag{3.4}$$

In the lemma below, we generalise the definition of a unitary operator introduced by K. Frączek in the particular case $X=\mathbb{T}$ and $G=\mathrm{SU}(2)$ (see [12, Sec. 2]). For this, we have to recall some basic facts on Lie groups and fix some notations. Since G is a compact Lie group G, there exists an injective C^∞ group homomorphism $\pi_*: G\to\mathrm{U}(n)$ for some $n\in\mathbb{N}^*$ [22, Cor. 4.22]. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G is a real vector space of dimension $\dim(\mathfrak{g})=\dim(G)$ which supports an Ad-invariant scalar product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}:\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g}\to\mathbb{C}$ [22, Prop. 4.24]. That is, if $g\in G$ and Ad_g is the inner automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$ given by

$$\mathrm{Ad}_g:\mathfrak{g}\to\mathfrak{g},\quad Z\mapsto gZg^{-1},$$

then we have

$$\big\langle \mathrm{Ad}_g Z_1, \mathrm{Ad}_g Z_2\big\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = \big\langle Z_1, Z_2\big\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} \quad \text{for all } Z_1,Z_2\in\mathfrak{g}. \tag{3.5}$$

We equip $\mathfrak{g}$ with the topology induced by the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{g}}$ associated to the scalar product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and with the corresponding Borel σ-algebra, so that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a topological measurable space. Finally, we write $\mathrm{L}^2(X,\mathfrak{g})$ for the Hilbert space of measurable functions $f_1,f_2:X\to\mathfrak{g}$ with scalar product

$$\big\langle f_1, f_2\big\rangle_{\mathrm{L}^2(X,\mathfrak{g})} := \int_X \mathrm{d}\mu_X(x)\,\big\langle f_1(x), f_2(x)\big\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *The operator $W_\phi:\mathrm{L}^2(X,\mathfrak{g})\to\mathrm{L}^2(X,\mathfrak{g})$ given by*

$$\big(W_\phi f\big)(x) := \mathrm{Ad}_{\phi(x)}(f\circ F_1)(x), \quad f\in\mathrm{L}^2(X,\mathfrak{g}),\ \mu_X\text{-almost every } x\in X,$$

is unitary in $\mathrm{L}^2(X,\mathfrak{g})$ and satisfies

$$\big(W_\phi^n f\big)(x) := \mathrm{Ad}_{\phi^{(n)}(x)}(f\circ F_n)(x), \quad n\in\mathbb{Z},\ f\in\mathrm{L}^2(X,\mathfrak{g}),\ \mu_X\text{-almost every } x\in X. \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. For each $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, let $W_n:\mathrm{L}^2(X,\mathfrak{g})\to\mathrm{L}^2(X,\mathfrak{g})$ be the operator given by

$$\big(W_n f\big)(x) := \mathrm{Ad}_{\phi^{(n)}(x)}(f\circ F_n)(x), \quad f\in\mathrm{L}^2(X,\mathfrak{g}),\ \mu_X\text{-almost every } x\in X.$$

Then, (3.5) and the fact that $\{F_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ preserves the measure μ_X imply that

$$\big\|W_n f\big\|^2_{\mathrm{L}^2(X,\mathfrak{g})} = \int_X \mathrm{d}\mu_X(x)\,\big\|\mathrm{Ad}_{\phi^{(n)}(x)}(f\circ F_n)(x)\big\|^2_{\mathfrak{g}} = \int_X \mathrm{d}\mu_X(x)\,\big\|(f\circ F_n)(x)\big\|^2_{\mathfrak{g}} = \|f\|^2_{\mathrm{L}^2(X,\mathfrak{g})}.$$

Thus, W_n is isometric. Moreover, direct calculations using the definition of $\phi^{(n)}$ show that

$$W_nW_{-n} = W_{-n}W_n = 1.$$

So, W_n is unitary with inverse W_{-n}.

It remains to show that $W_\phi^n = W_n$. We show it by induction on n in the case $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (the case $n \in -\mathbb{N}$ is analogous). For $n = 0$ and $n = 1$, we verify directly that $W_\phi^0 = W_0$ and $W_\phi^1 = W_1$. For $n \geq 2$, we make the induction hypothesis that $W_\phi^{n-1} = W_{n-1}$. Then, we obtain for $f \in \mathrm{L}^2(X, \mathfrak{g})$ and μ_X-almost every $x \in X$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\big(W_\phi^n f\big)(x) &= \big(W_1 W_{n-1} f\big)(x) \\
&= \phi(x)\big(W_{n-1} f \circ F_1\big)(x)\phi(x)^{-1} \\
&= \phi(x)\big(\phi^{(n-1)} \circ F_1\big)(x)(f \circ F_n)(x)\big(\phi^{(n-1)} \circ F_1\big)(x)^{-1}\phi(x)^{-1} \\
&= \phi^{(n)}(x)(f \circ F_n)(x)\phi^{(n)}(x)^{-1} \\
&= \big(W_n f\big)(x),
\end{aligned}$$

and thus $W_\phi^n = W_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. □

In the next lemma, we collect some convergence results for the sequence $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} W_\phi^n$, $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$, which generalise results of K. Frączek in the particular case $X = \mathbb{T}$ and $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$ (see [12, Lemmas 2.1 & 2.2]). We write $\mathrm{L}^1(X, \mathfrak{g})$ for the Banach space of measurable functions $f : X \to \mathfrak{g}$ with norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathrm{L}^1(X,\mathfrak{g})} := \int_X \mathrm{d}\mu_X(x)\, \|f(x)\|_{\mathfrak{g}},$$

and $\mathrm{L}^1(X \times G, \mathfrak{g})$ for the Banach space of measurable functions $\widetilde{f} : X \times G \to \mathfrak{g}$ with norm

$$\|\widetilde{f}\,\|_{\mathrm{L}^1(X\times G,\mathfrak{g})} := \int_{X\times G} \mathrm{d}(\mu_X \otimes \mu_G)(x, g)\, \|\widetilde{f}(x, g)\|_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let $P_\phi \in \mathscr{B}\big(\mathrm{L}^2(X, \mathfrak{g})\big)$ be the orthogonal projection onto* $\ker(1 - W_\phi)$.

(a) If $f \in \mathrm{L}^2(X, \mathfrak{g})$, then the sequence $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} W_\phi^n f$ converges in $\mathrm{L}^2(X, \mathfrak{g})$ to $P_\phi f$.

(b) If $f \in \mathrm{L}^1(X, \mathfrak{g})$, then the sequence $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \big(W_\phi^n f\big)(x)$ converges in $\mathfrak{g}$ for μ_X-almost every $x \in X$.

(c) If $f \in \mathrm{L}^2(X, \mathfrak{g})$, then the sequence $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \big(W_\phi^n f\big)(x)$ converges in $\mathfrak{g}$ to $(P_\phi f)(x)$ for μ_X-almost every $x \in X$.

Proof. (a) We know from Lemma 3.2 that W_ϕ is a unitary operator in $\mathrm{L}^2(X, \mathfrak{g})$. Therefore, von Neumann's ergodic theorem implies that for each $f \in \mathrm{L}^2(X, \mathfrak{g})$ the sequence $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} W_\phi^n f$ converges in $\mathrm{L}^2(X, \mathfrak{g})$ to $P_\phi f$.

(b) Let $f \in \mathrm{L}^1(X, \mathfrak{g})$ and let $\widetilde{f} : X \times G \to \mathfrak{g}$ be given by

$$\widetilde{f}(x, g) := \mathrm{Ad}_g\, f(x), \quad g \in G,\ \mu_X\text{-almost every } x \in X.$$

Then, (3.1) and (3.6) imply for every $g \in G$ and μ_X-almost every $x \in X$

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{f}\big(T_\phi^n(x, g)\big) &= \widetilde{f}\big(F_n(x), g\,\phi^{(n)}(x)\big) \\
&= \mathrm{Ad}_{g\,\phi^{(n)}(x)}\, f\big(F_n(x)\big) \\
&= \mathrm{Ad}_g\, \mathrm{Ad}_{\phi^{(n)}(x)}(f \circ F_n)(x) \\
&= \mathrm{Ad}_g\, \big(W_\phi^n f\big)(x).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Moreover, we have $\widetilde{f} \in \mathrm{L}^1(X \times G, \mathfrak{g})$ because (3.5) and Tonnelli's theorem imply that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\widetilde{f}\|_{\mathrm{L}^1(X\times G,\mathfrak{g})} &= \int_{X\times G} \mathrm{d}(\mu_X \otimes \mu_G)(x,g)\, \|\operatorname{Ad}_g f(x)\|_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ &= \int_G \mathrm{d}\mu_G(g) \int_X \mathrm{d}\mu_X(x)\, \|f(x)\|_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ &= \|f\|_{\mathrm{L}^1(X,\mathfrak{g})}.\end{aligned}$$

So, we can apply Birkhoff's pointwise ergodic theorem for Banach-valued functions [23, Thm. 4.2.1] to obtain that the sequence

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \widetilde{f}\big(T_\phi^n(x,g)\big) = \operatorname{Ad}_g\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\big(W_\phi^n f\big)(x)\right), \quad N \in \mathbb{N}^*,$$

converges in $\mathfrak{g}$ for $(\mu_X \otimes \mu_G)$-almost every $(x,g) \in X \times G$. Therefore, there exists $g \in G$ such that $\operatorname{Ad}_g\big(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\big(W_\phi^n f\big)(x)\big)$ converges in $\mathfrak{g}$ for μ_X-almost every $x \in X$, and thus $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\big(W_\phi^n f\big)(x)$ converges in $\mathfrak{g}$ for μ_X-almost every $x \in X$.

(c) Let $f \in \mathrm{L}^2(X,\mathfrak{g})$. Then, we know from point (a) that the sequence $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} W_\phi^n f$ converges in $\mathrm{L}^2(X,\mathfrak{g})$ to $P_\phi f$. Thus, $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} W_\phi^n f$ converges in measure μ_X to $P_\phi f$. On another hand, since $\mathrm{L}^2(X,\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathrm{L}^1(X,\mathfrak{g})$, we know from point (b) that $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\big(W_\phi^n f\big)(x)$ converges in $\mathfrak{g}$ for μ_X-almost every $x \in X$. Therefore, it follows from the uniqueness of the limit under different modes of convergence [30, Prop. 1.5.7] that $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\big(W_\phi^n f\big)(x)$ converges in $\mathfrak{g}$ to $(P_\phi f)(x)$ for μ_X-almost every $x \in X$. □

Remark 3.4. *Since $P_\phi \in \mathscr{B}\big(\mathrm{L}^2(X,\mathfrak{g})\big)$ is the orthogonal projection onto $\ker(1-W)$, the function $P_\phi f \in \mathrm{L}^2(X,\mathfrak{g})$ of Lemma 3.3(a) satisfies $W_\phi^n(P_\phi f) = P_\phi f$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, which means that $P_\phi f$ is invariant under the action of the unitary operator W_ϕ. Due to (3.6), this implies that*

$$\operatorname{Ad}_{\phi^{(n)}(x)}\big(P_\phi f \circ F_n\big)(x) = (P_\phi f)(x)$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and μ_X-almost every $x \in X$. It follows from (3.5) that the function $\rho_{\phi,f} \in \mathrm{L}^2(X,\mu_X)$ given by $\rho_{\phi,f}(\cdot) := \|(P_\phi f)(\cdot)\|_{\mathfrak{g}}$ satisfies for μ_X-almost every $x \in X$

$$\big(\rho_{\phi,f} \circ F_1\big)(x) = \big\|\operatorname{Ad}_{\phi(x)}\big(P_\phi f \circ F_1\big)(x)\big\|_{\mathfrak{g}} = \rho_{\phi,f}(x).$$

In consequence, if F_1 is ergodic, then $\rho_{\phi,f}(x)$ is constant for μ_X-almost every $x \in X$. This fact (noted by K. Frączek in [12, Lemma 2.1]) will be useful in Section 4.2 when we will discuss the case of cocycles ϕ taking values in the group SU(2).

We now begin to apply the theory of Section 2 to the operator $U_{\phi,\pi,j}$ in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi)}$. We start by defining an appropriate self-adjoint operator A in $\mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi)}$ and give conditions so that $U_{\phi,\pi,j} \in C^1(A)$. We write $\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi})$ for the set of $d_\pi \times d_\pi$ complex matrices equipped with the operator norm and we write $\mathrm{L}^\infty\big(X, \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi})\big)$ for the Banach space of measurable functions $f : X \to \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi})$ with norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathrm{L}^\infty(X,\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}))} := \operatorname*{esssup}_{x\in X} \|f(x)\|_{\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi})}.$$

Lemma 3.5. *The operator*

$$A\sum_{k=1}^{d_\pi} \varphi_k \otimes \pi_{jk} := \sum_{k=1}^{d_\pi} H\varphi_k \otimes \pi_{jk}, \quad \varphi_k \in C^1(X),$$

is essentially self-adjoint in $\mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi)}$, and its closure (which we denote by the same symbol) has domain

$$\mathcal{D}(A) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{d_\pi} \mathcal{D}(H) \otimes \{\pi_{jk}\}.$$

Furthermore, if $\mathscr{L}_Y(\pi\circ\phi)\in \mathsf{L}^\infty\big(X,\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi})\big)$*, then* $U_{\phi,\pi,j}\in C^1(A)$ *with*

$$\big[A,U_{\phi,\pi,j}\big]=iM_{\pi\circ\phi}\,U_{\phi,\pi,j},$$

where $M_{\pi\circ\phi}$ *is the bounded matrix-valued multiplication operator in* $\mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi)}$ *given by*

$$M_{\pi\circ\phi}\sum_{k=1}^{d_\pi}\varphi_k\otimes\pi_{jk}:=\sum_{k,\ell=1}^{d_\pi}\big(\mathscr{L}_Y(\pi\circ\phi)\cdot(\pi\circ\phi)^{-1}\big)_{k\ell}\varphi_\ell\otimes\pi_{jk},\quad \varphi_k\in\mathsf{L}^2(X,\mu_X).$$

Proof. The claims can be shown as in [31, Lemma 3.2] (just put all the coefficients a_k equal to 1 in the analogue of $M_{\pi\circ\phi}$ in [31]). □

In the sequel, we write T_xX for the tangent space of X at $x\in X$, $\mathfrak{g}_\pi$ for the Lie algebra of $\pi(G)\subset\mathsf{U}(d_\pi)$ equipped with the (Ad-invariant) operator norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi})}$, T_gG for the tangent space of G at $g\in G$, $T_{\pi(g)}\pi(G)$ for the tangent space of $\pi(G)$ at $\pi(g)\in\pi(G)$, and

$$(\mathrm{d}\pi)_g:T_gG\to T_{\pi(g)}\pi(G)$$

for the differential of the map $\pi:G\to\pi(G)$ at $g\in G$. We recall from [3, Sec. 2.1.7-2.1.8] that, due to the standard isomorphisms $T_{e_G}G\simeq\mathfrak{g}$ and $T_{\pi(e_G)}\pi(G)\simeq\mathfrak{g}_\pi$, the differential $(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}$ induces a $\mathbb{R}$-linear (and thus continuous) map from $\mathfrak{g}$ to $\mathfrak{g}_\pi$ which we denote by the same symbol, that is,

$$(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}:\mathfrak{g}\to\mathfrak{g}_\pi.$$

Finally, we let $\mathsf{L}^2(X,\mathfrak{g}_\pi)$ be the Banach space of measurable functions $f:X\to\mathfrak{g}_\pi$ with norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathsf{L}^2(X,\mathfrak{g}_\pi)}:=\int_X\mathrm{d}\mu_X(x)\,\|f(x)\|^2_{\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi})},$$

we define the operator $W_{\pi\circ\phi}:\mathsf{L}^2(X,\mathfrak{g}_\pi)\to\mathsf{L}^2(X,\mathfrak{g}_\pi)$ by

$$\big(W_{\pi\circ\phi}f\big)(x):=\mathrm{Ad}_{(\pi\circ\phi)(x)}(f\circ F_1)(x),\quad f\in\mathsf{L}^2(X,\mathfrak{g}_\pi),\ \mu_X\text{-almost every } x\in X,$$

and we note from Lemma 3.2 (applied with ϕ replaced by $\pi\circ\phi$) that $W_{\pi\circ\phi}$ is unitary in $\mathsf{L}^2(X,\mathfrak{g}_\pi)$, with iterates

$$\big(W^n_{\pi\circ\phi}f\big)(x):=\mathrm{Ad}_{(\pi\circ\phi^{(n)})(x)}(f\circ F_n)(x),\quad n\in\mathbb{Z},\ f\in\mathsf{L}^2(X,\mathfrak{g}_\pi),\ \mu_X\text{-almost every } x\in X.$$

Lemma 3.6. *Assume that*

$$(\mathscr{L}_Y\phi)(x)=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0}\phi\big(F_t(x)\big)\in T_{\phi(x)}G$$

exists for μ_X*-almost every* $x\in X$ *and that* $M_\phi:=\mathscr{L}_Y\phi\cdot\phi^{-1}\in\mathsf{L}^2(X,\mathfrak{g})$*. Then, we have for* μ_X*-almost every* $x\in X$

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\left\|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\big(W^n_{\pi\circ\phi}M_{\pi\circ\phi}\big)(x)-(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(x)\big)\right\|_{\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi})}=0.\tag{3.8}$$

Proof. We have for every $n\in\mathbb{Z}$ and μ_X-almost every $x\in X$

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_g}\big(\big(W^n_\phi M_\phi\big)(x)\big)&=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0}\pi\big(\,\mathrm{e}^{t\,\mathrm{Ad}_{\phi^{(n)}(x)}(M_\phi\circ F_n)(x)}\big)\\&=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0}\pi\left(\mathrm{Ad}_{\phi^{(n)}(x)}\,\mathrm{e}^{t(M_\phi\circ F_n)(x)}\right)\\&=\mathrm{Ad}_{(\pi\circ\phi^{(n)})(x)}\,\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0}\pi\big(\,\mathrm{e}^{t(M_\phi\circ F_n)(x)}\big)\\&=\mathrm{Ad}_{(\pi\circ\phi^{(n)})(x)}(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big(\big(M_\phi\circ F_n\big)(x)\big)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_g}\big(M_\phi(x)\big) &= (\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_g}\left(\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0}\phi\big(F_t(x)\big)\right)\phi(x)^{-1}\right)\\ &= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0}\pi\big(\phi\big(F_t(x)\big)\phi(x)^{-1}\big)\\ &= \big(\mathscr{L}_Y(\pi\circ\phi)\big)(x)\cdot\big(\pi\circ\phi\big)(x)^{-1}\\ &= M_{\pi\circ\phi}(x). \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

These relations, together with the $\mathbb{R}$-linearity of $(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_g}$ and (3.6), imply for every $N\in\mathbb{N}^*$ and μ_X-almost every $x\in X$ that

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\big(W^n_{\pi\circ\phi}M_{\pi\circ\phi}\big)(x) = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_g}\big(\big(W^n_\phi M_\phi\big)(x)\big) = (\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_g}\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\big(W^n_\phi M_\phi\big)(x)\right).$$

Therefore, using the continuity of $(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_g}$ and Lemma 3.3(c), we obtain for μ_X-almost every $x\in X$

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{N\to\infty}\left\|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\big(W^n_{\pi\circ\phi}M_{\pi\circ\phi}\big)(x) - (\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(x)\big)\right\|_{\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi})}\\ &= \lim_{N\to\infty}\left\|(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_g}\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\big(W^n_\phi M_\phi\big)(x) - \big(P_\phi M_\phi\big)(x)\right)\right\|_{\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi})}\\ &\le \text{Const.}\lim_{N\to\infty}\left\|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\big(W^n_\phi M_\phi\big)(x) - \big(P_\phi M_\phi\big)(x)\right\|_{\mathfrak{g}}\\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.7. *If $\mathscr{L}_Y\phi$ exists μ_X-almost everywhere and $M_\phi\in\mathrm{L}^2(X,\mathfrak{g})$, then (3.9) and the continuity of $(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_g}$ imply that*

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|M_{\pi\circ\phi}\big\|^2_{\mathrm{L}^2(X,\mathfrak{g}_\pi)} &= \int_X \mathrm{d}\mu_X(x)\,\big\|(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_g}\big(M_\phi(x)\big)\big\|^2_{\mathfrak{g}}\\ &\le \text{Const.}\int_X \mathrm{d}\mu_X(x)\,\big\|M_\phi(x)\big\|^2_{\mathfrak{g}}\\ &= \text{Const.}\,\big\|M_\phi\big\|^2_{\mathrm{L}^2(X,\mathfrak{g})}\\ &< \infty.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, an application of Lemma 3.3(c) with ϕ replaced by $\pi\circ\phi$ implies that the sequence $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\big(W^n_{\pi\circ\phi}M_{\pi\circ\phi}\big)(x)$ converges in $\mathfrak{g}_\pi$ to $\big(P_{\pi\circ\phi}M_{\pi\circ\phi}\big)(x)$ for μ_X-almost every $x\in X$. This, together with Lemma 3.6, implies that

$$P_{\pi\circ\phi}M_{\pi\circ\phi} = (\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(\cdot)\big)\quad \mu_X\text{-almost everywhere.}$$

The functions $P_\phi M_\phi\in\mathrm{L}^2(X,\mathfrak{g})$ and $P_{\pi\circ\phi}M_{\pi\circ\phi}\in\mathrm{L}^2(X,\mathfrak{g}_\pi)$ of Lemma 3.6 and Remark 3.7 transform in a natural way under Lie group homomorphisms and under the relation of C^1-cohomology:

Lemma 3.8 (Invariance of $P_\phi M_\phi$ and $P_{\pi\circ\phi}M_{\pi\circ\phi}$)**.** *Assume that $\phi\in C^1(X,G)$.*

(a) If $\phi = h\circ\delta$ with $h: G'\to G$ a Lie group homomorphism and $\delta\in C^1(X,G')$, then

$$P_\phi M_\phi = (\mathrm{d}h)_{e_{G'}}\big((P_\delta M_\delta)(\cdot)\big)\quad \mu_X\text{-almost everywhere.}$$

(b) If $\zeta, \delta \in C^1(X, G)$ are such that

$$\phi(x) = \zeta(x)^{-1}\,\delta(x)\,(\zeta \circ F_1)(x) \quad \text{for each } x \in X, \tag{3.10}$$

then $P_\delta M_\delta = \mathrm{Ad}_\zeta(P_\phi M_\phi)$ μ_X-almost everywhere. In particular,

$$\big\|(P_\delta M_\delta)(x)\big\|_{\mathfrak{g}} = \big\|(P_\phi M_\phi)(x)\big\|_{\mathfrak{g}} \quad \text{for } \mu_X\text{-almost every } x \in X. \tag{3.11}$$

(c) If $\phi = h \circ \delta$ with $h : G' \to G$ a Lie group homomorphism and $\delta \in C^1(X, G')$, then

$$P_{\pi\circ\phi} M_{\pi\circ\phi} = \big(\mathrm{d}(\pi \circ h)\big)_{e_{G'}}\big((P_\delta M_\delta)(\cdot)\big) \quad \mu_X\text{-almost everywhere.}$$

(d) If $\zeta, \delta \in C^1(X, G)$ are such that

$$\phi(x) = \zeta(x)^{-1}\,\delta(x)\,(\zeta \circ F_1)(x) \quad \text{for each } x \in X,$$

then $P_{\pi\circ\delta} M_{\pi\circ\delta} = \mathrm{Ad}_{\pi\circ\zeta}(P_{\pi\circ\phi} M_{\pi\circ\phi})$ μ_X-almost everywhere. In particular,

$$\big\|(P_{\pi\circ\delta} M_{\pi\circ\delta})(x)\big\|_{\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi})} = \big\|(P_{\pi\circ\phi} M_{\pi\circ\phi})(x)\big\|_{\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi})} \quad \text{for } \mu_X\text{-almost every } x \in X.$$

Proof. (a) Since $\phi \in C^1(X, G)$ and $\delta \in C^1(X, G')$, an application of Lemma 3.3 for ϕ and δ implies the existence of the limits $P_\phi M_\phi$ and $P_\delta M_\delta$ μ_X-almost everywhere. Then, one shows that $P_\phi M_\phi = (\mathrm{d}h)_{e_G}\big((P_\delta M_\delta)(\cdot)\big)$ μ_X-almost everywhere as in Lemma 3.6 (just replace in Lemma 3.6 G by G', ϕ by δ, π by h, and the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi})}$ by a norm on the Lie algebra of G').

(b) Since $\phi, \delta \in C^1(X, G)$, an application of Lemma 3.3 for ϕ and δ implies the existence of the limits $P_\phi M_\phi$ and $P_\delta M_\delta$ μ_X-almost everywhere. Furthermore, the equation (3.10), the product rule in Lie groups, and the equality $\mathscr{L}_Y(\zeta \circ F_1) = (\mathscr{L}_Y \zeta) \circ F_1$ imply that

$$\begin{aligned} M_\phi &= \mathscr{L}_Y\phi \cdot \phi^{-1} \\ &= -\zeta^{-1} \cdot \mathscr{L}_Y\zeta \cdot \zeta^{-1} \cdot \delta \cdot (\zeta \circ F_1) \cdot \phi^{-1} + \zeta^{-1} \cdot \mathscr{L}_Y\delta \cdot (\zeta \circ F_1) \cdot \phi^{-1} + \zeta^{-1} \cdot \delta \cdot \mathscr{L}_Y(\zeta \circ F_1) \cdot \phi^{-1} \\ &= -\,\mathrm{Ad}_{\zeta^{-1}}\big(M_\zeta - M_\delta - \mathrm{Ad}_\delta(M_\zeta \circ F_1)\big). \end{aligned}$$

This, together with the fact that $P_\phi(\mathrm{Ad}_{\zeta^{-1}} f) = \mathrm{Ad}_{\zeta^{-1}}(P_\delta f)$ for any $f \in \mathrm{L}^2(X, \mathfrak{g})$, gives

$$P_\phi M_\phi = -\,\mathrm{Ad}_{\zeta^{-1}}\big(P_\delta M_\zeta - P_\delta M_\delta - P_\delta\big(\mathrm{Ad}_\delta(M_\zeta \circ F_1)\big)\big).$$

Now, a direct calculation implies that

$$\begin{aligned} P_\delta\big(\mathrm{Ad}_\delta(M_\zeta \circ F_1)\big) &= \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathrm{Ad}_{\delta^{(n+1)}}(M_\zeta \circ F_{n+1}) \\ &= \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathrm{Ad}_{\delta^{(n)}}(M_\zeta \circ F_n) \\ &= P_\delta M_\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $P_\phi M_\phi = \mathrm{Ad}_{\zeta^{-1}} P_\delta M_\delta$ μ_X-almost everywhere, and the claim is proved.

(c) Remark 3.7, point (a), and the chain rule $\big(\mathrm{d}(\pi \circ h)\big)_{e_{G'}} = (\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G} \circ (\mathrm{d}h)_{e_{G'}}$ imply that

$$P_{\pi\circ\phi} M_{\pi\circ\phi} = (\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(\cdot)\big) = (\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((\mathrm{d}h)_{e_{G'}}\big((P_\delta M_\delta)(\cdot)\big)\big) = \big(\mathrm{d}(\pi \circ h)\big)_{e_{G'}}\big((P_\delta M_\delta)(\cdot)\big)$$

μ_X-almost everywhere.

(d) Since $\phi, \delta \in C^1(X,G)$, an application of Remark 3.7 with ϕ and δ implies that

$$P_{\pi\circ\phi} M_{\pi\circ\phi} = (\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(\,\cdot\,)\big) \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\pi\circ\delta} M_{\pi\circ\delta} = (\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\delta M_\delta)(\,\cdot\,)\big)$$

μ_X-almost everywhere. Therefore, it follows from point (b) that

$$P_{\pi\circ\delta} M_{\pi\circ\delta} = (\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big(\,\mathrm{Ad}_\zeta(P_\phi M_\phi)(\,\cdot\,)\big) = \mathrm{Ad}_{\pi\circ\zeta}(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(\,\cdot\,)\big) = \mathrm{Ad}_{\pi\circ\zeta}\, P_{\pi\circ\phi} M_{\pi\circ\phi}$$

μ_X-almost everywhere. □

Using Lemma 3.6, we can now prove that the strong limit

$$D_{\phi,\pi} := \operatorname*{s-lim}_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N}\big[A, \big(U_{\phi,\pi,j}\big)^N\big]\big(U_{\phi,\pi,j}\big)^{-N}$$

exists and is equal to the matrix-valued multiplication operator by the function $i\,(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(\,\cdot\,)\big)$ or equivalently the function $iP_{\pi\circ\phi}M_{\pi\circ\phi}$ (this is the same due to Remark 3.7).

Lemma 3.9 (Existence of $D_{\phi,\pi}$)**.** *Assume that $\mathscr{L}_Y\phi$ exists μ_X-almost everywhere, that $M_\phi \in \mathrm{L}^2(X,\mathfrak{g})$, and that $\mathscr{L}_Y(\pi\circ\phi) \in \mathrm{L}^\infty\big(X, \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi})\big)$. Then, the strong limit*

$$D_{\phi,\pi} = \operatorname*{s-lim}_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N}\big[A, \big(U_{\phi,\pi,j}\big)^N\big]\big(U_{\phi,\pi,j}\big)^{-N}$$

exists and satisfies

$$D_{\phi,\pi}\sum_{k=1}^{d_\pi} \varphi_k \otimes \pi_{jk} = \sum_{k,\ell=1}^{d_\pi} i\,\big((\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(\,\cdot\,)\big)\big)_{k\ell}\,\varphi_\ell \otimes \pi_{jk}, \quad \varphi_k \in \mathrm{L}^2(X,\mu_X).$$

The assumptions of Lemma 3.9 are satisfied for instance if $\phi \in C^1(X,G)$.

Proof. We know from (3.4) that

$$\big(U_{\phi,\pi,j}\big)^n \sum_{k=1}^{d_\pi} \varphi_k \otimes \pi_{jk} = \sum_{k,\ell=1}^{d_\pi} \big(\varphi_k \circ F_n\big)\big(\pi_{\ell k}\circ\phi^{(n)}\big) \otimes \pi_{j\ell}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},\ \varphi_k \in \mathrm{L}^2(X,\mu_X),$$

and we know from Lemma 3.5 that $U_{\phi,\pi,j} \in C^1(A)$ with $[A, U_{\phi,\pi,j}] = iM_{\pi\circ\phi}U_{\phi,\pi,j}$. Therefore, we have for each $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ the equalities

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{N}\big[A, \big(U_{\phi,\pi,j}\big)^N\big]\big(U_{\phi,\pi,j}\big)^{-N} &= \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \big(U_{\phi,\pi,j}\big)^n \Big(\big[A, U_{\phi,\pi,j}\big]\big(U_{\phi,\pi,j}\big)^{-1}\Big)\big(U_{\phi,\pi,j}\big)^{-n} \\
&= \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \big(U_{\phi,\pi,j}\big)^n iM_{\pi\circ\phi}\big(U_{\phi,\pi,j}\big)^{-n} \\
&= \frac{i}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \big(\pi\circ\phi^{(n)}\big)\big(M_{\pi\circ\phi}\circ F_n\big)\big(\pi\circ\phi^{(n)}\big)^{-1} \\
&= \frac{i}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} W^n_{\pi\circ\phi} M_{\pi\circ\phi},
\end{aligned}$$

and the operators

$$D_{\phi,\pi,N} := \frac{i}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} W^n_{\pi\circ\phi} M_{\pi\circ\phi}, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}^*,$$

are bounded matrix-valued multiplication operators in $\mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi)}$. On another hand, Lemma 3.6 implies that the sequence $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\big(W_{\pi\circ\phi}^n M_{\pi\circ\phi}\big)(x)$ converges in $\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi})$ to $(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(x)\big)$ for μ_X-almost every $x\in X$. This, together with the assumption $\mathscr{L}_Y(\pi\circ\phi)\in \mathrm{L}^\infty\big(X,\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi})\big)$, implies for μ_X-almost every $x\in X$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\big\|(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(x)\big)\big\|_{\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi})} &= \lim_{N\to\infty}\left\|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\big(W_{\pi\circ\phi}^n M_{\pi\circ\phi}\big)(x)\right\|_{\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi})}\\
&= \lim_{N\to\infty}\left\|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\mathrm{Ad}_{(\pi\circ\phi^{(n)})(x)}\big(M_{\pi\circ\phi}\circ F_n\big)(x)\right\|_{\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi})}\\
&\le \lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\big\|\big(M_{\pi\circ\phi}\circ F_n\big)(x)\big\|_{\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi})}\\
&\le \big\|M_{\pi\circ\phi}\big\|_{\mathrm{L}^\infty(X,\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}))}\\
&< \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

So, we have $(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(\,\cdot\,)\big)\in \mathrm{L}^\infty\big(X,\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi})\big)$, and thus the matrix-valued multiplication operator in $\mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi)}$ given by

$$(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(\,\cdot\,)\big)\sum_{k=1}^{d_\pi}\varphi_k\otimes\pi_{jk}=\sum_{k,\ell=1}^{d_\pi}\big((\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(\,\cdot\,)\big)\big)_{k\ell}\varphi_\ell\otimes\pi_{jk},\quad \varphi_k\in\mathrm{L}^2(X,\mu_X),$$

is bounded (we use the notation $(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(\,\cdot\,)\big)$ both for the element of $\mathrm{L}^\infty\big(X,\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi})\big)$ and the corresponding multiplication operator in $\mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi)}$).

To prove the claim, we have to show that the strong limit $\text{s-lim}_{N\to\infty} D_{\phi,\pi,N}$ exists and is equal to $i\,(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(\,\cdot\,)\big)$. A direct calculation using the orthogonality relation (3.2) and the notations

$$E_{\phi,\pi,N}:=\frac{i}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}W_{\pi\circ\phi}^n M_{\pi\circ\phi}-i\,(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(\,\cdot\,)\big)\quad\text{and}\quad\varphi:=(\varphi_1,\dots,\varphi_{d_\pi})^\top$$

gives for $\sum_{k=1}^{d_\pi}\varphi_k\otimes\pi_{jk}\in\mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi)}$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\big(D_{\phi,\pi,N}-i\,(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(\,\cdot\,)\big)\big)\sum_{k=1}^{d_\pi}\varphi_k\otimes\pi_{jk}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi)}}^2\\
&=(d_\pi)^{-1}\sum_{k=1}^{d_\pi}\left\|\sum_{\ell=1}^{d_\pi}(E_{\phi,\pi,N})_{k\ell}\varphi_\ell\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(X,\mu_X)}^2\\
&=(d_\pi)^{-1}\sum_{k=1}^{d_\pi}\big\|\big(E_{\phi,\pi,N}\varphi\big)_k\big\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(X,\mu_X)}^2\\
&=(d_\pi)^{-1}\int_X \mathrm{d}\mu_X(x)\,\big\|E_{\phi,\pi,N}(x)\varphi(x)\big\|_{\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}}^2\\
&\le(d_\pi)^{-1}\int_X \mathrm{d}\mu_X(x)\,\big\|E_{\phi,\pi,N}(x)\big\|_{\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi})}^2\|\varphi(x)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}}^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.12}$$

Furthermore, the inclusions $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}W_{\pi\circ\phi}^n M_{\pi\circ\phi}\in\mathrm{L}^\infty\big(X,\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi})\big)$ and $(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(\,\cdot\,)\big)\in\mathrm{L}^\infty\big(X,\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi})\big)$ imply that

$$\big\|E_{\phi,\pi,N}(\,\cdot\,)\big\|_{\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi})}^2\|\varphi(\,\cdot\,)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}}^2\le\text{Const.}\,\|\varphi(\,\cdot\,)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}}^2\in\mathrm{L}^1(X,\mu_X).$$

So, we can apply Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem in (3.12) in conjunction with the equality $\lim_{N\to\infty} \left\| E_{\phi,\pi,N}(x) \right\|^2_{\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi})} = 0$ for μ_X-almost every $x \in X$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{N\to\infty} \left\| \left(D_{\phi,\pi,N} - i\,(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(\cdot)\big) \right) \sum_{k=1}^{d_\pi} \varphi_k \otimes \pi_{jk} \right\|^2_{\mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi)}} \\
&\leq \text{Const.}\,(d_\pi)^{-1} \int_X \mathrm{d}\mu_X(x) \lim_{N\to\infty} \left\| E_{\phi,\pi,N}(x) \right\|^2_{\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi})} \|\varphi(x)\|^2_{\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}} \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Combining the results of Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 3.9 we obtain following criterion for the mixing property of $U_{\phi,\pi,j}$ in $\mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi)}$ (and thus for the mixing property of the Koopman operator U_ϕ in a subspace of $\mathcal{H}$).

Theorem 3.10 (Mixing property of $U_{\phi,\pi,j}$)**.** *Assume that $\mathscr{L}_Y\phi$ exists μ_X-almost everywhere, that $M_\phi \in \mathrm{L}^2(X,\mathfrak{g})$, and that $\mathscr{L}_Y(\pi\circ\phi) \in \mathrm{L}^\infty\big(X, \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi})\big)$. Then, the strong limit $D_{\phi,\pi}$ exists and is equal to $i\,(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(\cdot)\big)$, and*

(a) $\lim_{N\to\infty} \big\langle \varphi, \big(U_{\phi,\pi,j}\big)^N \psi \big\rangle = 0$ *for each* $\varphi \in \ker(D_{\phi,\pi})^\perp$ *and* $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi)}$,

(b) $U_{\phi,\pi,j}\big|_{\ker(D_{\phi,\pi})^\perp}$ *has purely continuous spectrum.*

We are now in position to present a criterion for the presence of a purely absolutely continuous component in the spectrum of $U_{\phi,\pi,j}$. For this, we recall from Lemma 2.4(a) that if $D_{\phi,\pi} \in C^1(A)$ then the operator

$$\mathscr{A}_{D_{\phi,\pi}} \sum_{k=1}^{d_\pi} \varphi_k \otimes \pi_{jk} := \big(AD_{\phi,\pi} + D_{\phi,\pi}A\big) \sum_{k=1}^{d_\pi} \varphi_k \otimes \pi_{jk}, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{d_\pi} \varphi_k \otimes \pi_{jk} \in \mathcal{D}(A),$$

is essentially self-adjoint in $\mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi)}$, and its closure $A_{D_{\phi,\pi}} := \overline{\mathscr{A}_{D_{\phi,\pi}}}$ has domain

$$\mathcal{D}\big(A_{D_{\phi,\pi}}\big) = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{d_\pi} \varphi_k \otimes \pi_{jk} \in \mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi)} \mid D_{\phi,\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{d_\pi} \varphi_k \otimes \pi_{jk} \in \mathcal{D}(A) \right\}.$$

We also introduce the infimum

$$a_{\phi,\pi} := \operatorname*{essinf}_{x\in X} \inf_{v\in\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi},\, \|v\|_{\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}}=1} \Big\langle v, \big(i\,(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(x)\big)\big)^2 v \Big\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}},$$

which is well-defined under the assumptions of Lemma 3.6 since $i\,(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(x)\big) \in \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi})$ exists and is Hermitian for μ_X-almost every $x \in X$. Moreover, the value of $a_{\phi,\pi}$ is invariant under the relation of C^1-cohomology. Indeed, if $\phi, \zeta, \delta \in C^1(X,G)$ are such that

$$\phi(x) = \zeta(x)^{-1}\delta(x)\,(\zeta\circ F_1)(x) \quad \text{for each } x \in X,$$

then Lemma 3.8(d) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{\delta,\pi} &= \operatorname*{essinf}_{x\in X} \inf_{v\in\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi},\,\|v\|_{\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}}=1} \Big\langle v, \big(i\,(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\delta M_\delta)(x)\big)\big)^2 v\Big\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}} \\
&= \operatorname*{essinf}_{x\in X} \inf_{v\in\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi},\,\|v\|_{\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}}=1} \Big\langle v, \big(i\,\mathrm{Ad}_{(\pi\circ\zeta)(x)}(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(x)\big)\big)^2 v\Big\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}} \\
&= \operatorname*{essinf}_{x\in X} \inf_{v\in\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi},\,\|v\|_{\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}}=1} \Big\langle v, \mathrm{Ad}_{(\pi\circ\zeta)(x)}\big(i\,(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(x)\big)\big)^2 v\Big\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}} \\
&= \operatorname*{essinf}_{x\in X} \inf_{(\pi\circ\zeta)(x)u\in\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi},\,\|(\pi\circ\zeta)(x)u\|_{\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}}=1} \Big\langle u, \big(i\,(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(x)\big)\big)^2 u\Big\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}} \\
&= \operatorname*{essinf}_{x\in X} \inf_{u\in\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi},\,\|u\|_{\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}}=1} \Big\langle u, \big(i\,(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(x)\big)\big)^2 u\Big\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}} \\
&= a_{\phi,\pi}. \qquad (3.13)
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.11 (Absolutely continuous spectrum of $U_{\phi,\pi,j}$)**.** *Assume that*

(i) $\mathscr{L}_Y\phi$ *exists* μ_X*-almost everywhere,* $M_\phi \in \mathrm{L}^2(X,\mathfrak{g})$*, and* $\mathscr{L}_Y(\pi\circ\phi) \in \mathrm{L}^\infty\big(X,\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi})\big)$*,*

(ii) $\lim_{N\to\infty}\Big\|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} W^n_{\pi\circ\phi} M_{\pi\circ\phi} - (\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(\cdot)\big)\Big\|_{\mathrm{L}^\infty(X,\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}))} = 0$*,*

(iii) $\mathscr{L}_Y\big((\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(\cdot)\big)\big) \in \mathrm{L}^\infty\big(X,\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi})\big)$*,*

(iv) $M_{\pi\circ\phi} \in C^{+0}\big(A_{D_{\phi,\pi}}\big)$*,*

(v) $a_{\phi,\pi} > 0$*.*

Then, $U_{\phi,\pi,j}$ *has purely absolutely continuous spectrum.*

Proof. The proof consists in verifying the assumptions of Theorem 2.8. For this, we first note from Lemma 3.5 that $U_{\phi,\pi,j} \in C^1(A)$ with $[A, U_{\phi,\pi,j}] = iM_{\pi\circ\phi}\,U_{\phi,\pi,j}$. We also note from Lemma 3.9 that the strong limit $D_{\phi,\pi}$ exists and is equal to $i\,(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(\,\cdot\,)\big)$. Therefore, the condition (ii) and the calculation (3.12) imply that the uniform limit

$$D_{\phi,\pi} = \operatorname*{u\text{-}lim}_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N}\big[A, \big(U_{\phi,\pi,j}\big)^N\big]\big(U_{\phi,\pi,j}\big)^{-N} = \operatorname*{u\text{-}lim}_{N\to\infty} \frac{i}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} W^n_{\pi\circ\phi} M_{\pi\circ\phi}$$

exists. In order to verify the assumption $D_{\phi,\pi} \in C^1(A)$ of Theorem 2.8, we take functions $\varphi_k \in C^1(X)$ and observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big\langle A\sum_{k=1}^{d_\pi}\varphi_k\otimes\pi_{jk}, D_{\phi,\pi}\sum_{k'=1}^{d_\pi}\varphi_{k'}\otimes\pi_{jk'}\Big\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi)}} - \Big\langle \sum_{k=1}^{d_\pi}\varphi_k\otimes\pi_{jk}, D_{\phi,\pi}A\sum_{k'=1}^{d_\pi}\varphi_{k'}\otimes\pi_{jk'}\Big\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi)}} \\
&= \Big\langle \sum_{k=1}^{d_\pi}\varphi_k\otimes\pi_{jk}, -\mathscr{L}_Y\big((\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(\cdot)\big)\big)\sum_{k'=1}^{d_\pi}\varphi_{k'}\otimes\pi_{jk'}\Big\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi)}},
\end{aligned}$$

with $\mathscr{L}_Y\big((\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(\cdot)\big)\big)$ the matrix-valued multiplication operator in $\mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi)}$ given by

$$\mathscr{L}_Y\big((\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(\cdot)\big)\big)\sum_{k=1}^{d_\pi}\varphi_k\otimes\pi_{jk} := \sum_{k,\ell=1}^{d_\pi}\mathscr{L}_Y\big((\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(\cdot)\big)\big)_{k\ell}\varphi_\ell\otimes\pi_{jk}.$$

Since the operator $\mathscr{L}_Y\big((\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(\,\cdot\,)\big)\big)$ is bounded in $\mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi)}$ due to condition (iii) and since the set of elements $\sum_{k=1}^{d_\pi}\varphi_k\otimes\pi_{jk}$ with $\varphi_k \in C^1(X)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi)}$, this implies that $D_{\phi,\pi} \in C^1(A)$. In order

to verify the assumption $[A, U_{\phi,\pi,j}] \in C^{+0}\big(A_{D_{\phi,\pi}}\big)$ of Theorem 2.8 we note that since $U_{\phi,\pi,j} \in C^1(A)$ and $[D_\pi, U_{\phi,\pi,j}] = 0$, Lemma 2.4(b) implies that $U_{\phi,\pi,j} \in C^1\big(A_{D_{\phi,\pi}}\big)$. This, together with condition (iv), implies that $[A, U_{\phi,\pi,j}] = iM_{\pi\circ\phi}U_{\phi,\pi,j} \in C^{+0}\big(A_{D_{\phi,\pi}}\big)$ (see [1, Prop. 5.2.3(b)]). To conclude, we note that a direct calculation and condition (v) give

$$\big(D_{\phi,\pi}\big)^2 \geq \operatorname*{ess\,inf}_{x\in X} \inf_{v\in\mathbb{C}^{d\pi},\, \|v\|_{\mathbb{C}^{d\pi}}=1} \Big\langle v, \big(i\,(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(x)\big)\big)^2 v\Big\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{d\pi}} = a_{\phi,\pi} > 0.$$

This proves the last assumption of Theorem 2.8 for the set $\Theta = \mathbb{S}^1$. □

Remark 3.12 (Degree of the cocycle ϕ)**.** *The function $P_\phi M_\phi : X \to \mathfrak{g}$ can be interpreted as a matrix-valued degree of the cocycle $\phi : X \to G$. It generalises the notions of degrees of a cocycle appearing in [2, 11, 14, 15, 19, 20] when G is a torus, in [12, 13] when X is a torus and $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$, and in [21, Sec. 3.3] when X is a torus and G is semisimple. (In [12, 13], K. Frączek calls degree the norm $\|(P_\phi M_\phi)(\,\cdot\,)\|_{\mathfrak{g}}$ instead $P_\phi M_\phi$ itself, but in the case $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$ and F_1 an irrational rotation that he considers the difference is not relevant. For more complex/higher-dimensional Lie groups G and more general maps F_1, the distinction becomes relevant. In [21, Sec. 5.2], N. Karaliolios calls energy the norm $\|(P_\phi M_\phi)(\,\cdot\,)\|_{\mathfrak{g}}$ to emphasize the distinction.) In an analogous way, the function $P_{\pi\circ\phi}M_{\pi\circ\phi} : X \to \mathfrak{g}_\pi$ can be interpreted as a matrix-valued degree of the cocycle $\pi\circ\phi : X \to \pi(G)$, and Remark 3.7 shows that the degree $P_{\pi\circ\phi}M_{\pi\circ\phi}$ of $\pi\circ\phi$ is equal to $(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(\,\cdot\,)\big)$, the image of $P_\phi M_\phi$ under the differential (pushforward) $(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}_\pi$. Both degrees $P_\phi M_\phi$ and $P_{\pi\circ\phi}M_{\pi\circ\phi}$ transform in a natural way under Lie group homomorphisms and under the relation of C^1-cohomology (see Lemma 3.8).*

With this in mind, the result of Theorem 3.10 can be rephrased informally as follows: if some regularity assumptions on ϕ are satisfied (for instance if $\phi \in C^1(X, G)$), then the degree $P_{\pi\circ\phi}M_{\pi\circ\phi}$ exists and the operator U_ϕ is mixing in the orthocomplement of the kernel of $P_{\pi\circ\phi}M_{\pi\circ\phi}$ in $\mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi)}$. Similarly, the result of Theorem 3.11 can be rephrased as follows: if some additional assumptions are satisfied, then the operator U_ϕ has purely absolutely continuous spectrum in $\mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi)}$ if $(iP_{\pi\circ\phi}M_{\pi\circ\phi})^2$ is strictly positive. Summing up these individual results for the operators $U_{\phi,\pi,j}$ in the subspaces $\mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi)}$, one obtains a global result for the mixing property and the absolutely continuous spectrum of the Koopman operator U_ϕ in the whole Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$.

Remark 3.13. *Theorem 3.11 generalises in various ways Theorem 3.5 of [31]. First, the base space X here is only supposed to be compact manifold and not a compact Lie group as in [31]. Furthermore, the use of the operator $D_{\phi,\pi}$ in the definition of the conjugate operator $A_{D_{\phi,\pi},N}$ (see Proposition 2.5) allowed us to remove a commutation assumption made in [31, Thm. 3.5] which forced the matrix-valued function $\pi\circ\phi$ to be diagonal up to conjugation (in applications, this forced the cocycle ϕ to be trivially cohomologous to a diagonal cocycle). Here, this commutation assumption is to some extent replaced by the commutation relation $[D_{\phi,\pi}, (U_{\phi,\pi,j})^n] = 0$ which is automatically satisfied for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Finally, the positivity assumption $a_{\phi,\pi} > 0$ in Theorem 3.11 given in terms of the function $(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(\,\cdot\,)\big)$ is more natural and less restrictive than the positivity assumption in [31, Thm. 3.5] given in terms of the sequence $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} M_{\pi\circ\phi}\circ F_n$. Indeed, the assumption $a_{\phi,\pi} > 0$ is more natural because it does not change under the relation of C^1-cohomology due to (3.13), and it is less restrictive because in general it is the sequence $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} W_{\pi\circ\phi}^n M_{\pi\circ\phi}$ and its limit $(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(\,\cdot\,)\big)$ which encode the asymptotic behaviour of the operator $U_{\phi,\pi,j}$ and not the sequence $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} M_{\pi\circ\phi}\circ F_n$ (Lemma 3.15 below furnish an illustration of this fact).*

We now present two particular cases where the condition (ii) of Theorem 3.11 is satisfied and the function $P_\phi M_\phi$ takes a simple form. For this, we need to fix some notations. We write

$$\int_X \mathrm{d}\mu_X(x)\, M_\phi(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{X\times G} \mathrm{d}(\mu_X \otimes \mu_G)(x, g)\, \mathrm{Ad}_g\, M_\phi(x)$$

for the the strong integrals of the functions $X \ni x \mapsto M_\phi(x) \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $X \times G \ni (x,g) \mapsto \mathrm{Ad}_g M_\phi(x) \in \mathfrak{g}$ (if they exist). We write

$$\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{Ad}} := \{ Z \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \mathrm{Ad}_g Z = Z \text{ for all } g \in G \}$$

for the invariant subspace of the adjoint representation Ad of G. Finally, we let $P_{\mathrm{Ad}} \in \mathscr{B}(\mathfrak{g})$ be the operator given by

$$P_{\mathrm{Ad}}(Z) := \int_G \mathrm{d}\mu_G(g)\, \mathrm{Ad}_g Z, \quad Z \in \mathfrak{g}, \tag{3.14}$$

and we note that since Ad is a finite-dimensional unitary representation of G on $\mathfrak{g}$, P_{Ad} coincides with the orthogonal projection onto $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{Ad}}$ (see [16, Lemma 12.16]).

Lemma 3.14 (F_1 uniquely ergodic and $\pi \circ \phi$ diagonal)**.** *Assume that $\phi \in C^1(X,G)$, that F_1 is uniquely ergodic, and that $\pi \circ \phi$ is diagonal (that is, $\pi_{k\ell} \circ \phi = (\pi_{k\ell} \circ \phi)\delta_{k\ell}$ for each $k, \ell \in \{1, \dots, d_\pi\}$). Then,*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} W^n_{\pi\circ\phi} M_{\pi\circ\phi} - (\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}(M_{\phi,\star}) \right\|_{\mathrm{L}^\infty(X, \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}))} = 0,$$

with

$$M_{\phi,\star} := \int_X \mathrm{d}\mu_X(x)\, M_\phi(x).$$

Furthermore, if $\pi \circ \phi$ is diagonal for each $\pi \in \widehat{G}$, then $P_\phi M_\phi = M_{\phi,\star}$ μ_X-almost everywhere.

Proof. The diagonality of $\pi \circ \phi$ implies that $M_{\pi\circ\phi}$ is diagonal and that $W^n_{\pi\circ\phi} M_{\pi\circ\phi} = M_{\pi\circ\phi} \circ F_n$, and the condition $\phi \in C^1(X,G)$ implies that $M_\phi \in C(X, \mathfrak{g})$ and $M_{\pi\circ\phi} \in C(X, \mathfrak{g}_\pi)$. So, the strong integral

$$M_{\phi,\star} = \int_X \mathrm{d}\mu_X(x)\, M_\phi(x) \in \mathfrak{g}$$

exists. Therefore, it follows from the linearity and continuity of $(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}$, from (3.9), and from the unique ergodicity of F_1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{N\to\infty} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} W^n_{\pi\circ\phi} M_{\pi\circ\phi} - (\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}(M_{\phi,\star}) \right\|_{\mathrm{L}^\infty(X, \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}))} \\
&= \lim_{N\to\infty} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} M_{\pi\circ\phi} \circ F_n - \int_X \mathrm{d}\mu_X(x)\, (\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big(M_\phi(x)\big) \right\|_{\mathrm{L}^\infty(X, \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}))} \\
&= \lim_{N\to\infty} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} M_{\pi\circ\phi} \circ F_n - \int_X \mathrm{d}\mu_X(x)\, M_{\pi\circ\phi}(x) \right\|_{\mathrm{L}^\infty(X, \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}))} \\
&\leq \text{Const.} \lim_{N\to\infty} \max_{k \in \{1,\dots,d_\pi\}} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \big(M_{\pi\circ\phi}\big)_{kk} \circ F_n - \int_X \mathrm{d}\mu_X(x)\, \big(M_{\pi\circ\phi}\big)_{kk}(x) \right\|_{\mathrm{L}^\infty(X,\mu_X)} \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

For the second claim, we note that (3.8) and (3.15) imply for μ_X-almost every $x \in X$ that

$$0 = (\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(x)\big) - (\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}(M_{\phi,\star}) = (\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(x) - M_{\phi,\star}\big).$$

Thus, $\big(P_\phi M_\phi\big)(x) - M_{\phi,\star} \in \ker(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}$ for μ_X-almost every $x \in X$. Since this holds for each $\pi \in \widehat{G}$, we even get that

$$\big(P_\phi M_\phi\big)(x) - M_{\phi,\star} \in \bigcap_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} \ker(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}$$

for μ_X-almost every $x \in X$. Therefore, it is sufficient to show that $\bigcap_{\pi\in\widehat{G}} \ker(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G} = \{0\}$ to conclude. So, suppose by absurd that there exists $Z \in \bigcap_{\pi\in\widehat{G}} \ker(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G} \setminus \{0\}$, and take the Lie group isomorphism $\pi_* : G \to \pi_*(G) \subset \mathrm{U}(n)$ mentioned before Lemma 3.2. Since $Z \neq 0$ and $(\mathrm{d}\pi_*)_{e_G} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}_{\pi_*}$ is a Lie algebra isomorphism [3, Rem. 2.1.52(i)], we have $(\mathrm{d}\pi_*)_{e_G}(Z) \neq 0$. On another hand, since π_* is a finite-dimensional unitary representation of G, there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_m \in \widehat{G}$ such that $\pi_* = \pi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \pi_m$. Therefore,

$$(\mathrm{d}\pi_*)_{e_G}(Z) = \big(\mathrm{d}(\pi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \pi_m)\big)_{e_G}(Z) = \big((\mathrm{d}\pi_1)_{e_G}(Z), \ldots, (\mathrm{d}\pi_m)_{e_G}(Z)\big) = (0, \ldots, 0) = 0$$

due to the inclusion $Z \in \bigcap_{\pi\in\widehat{G}} \ker(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}$. This is a contradiction. Thus, $\bigcap_{\pi\in\widehat{G}} \ker(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G} = \{0\}$, and the claim is proved. □

Lemma 3.15 (T_ϕ uniquely ergodic)**.** *Assume that $\phi \in C^1(X, G)$ and that T_ϕ is uniquely ergodic. Then,*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} W_{\pi\circ\phi}^n M_{\pi\circ\phi} - (\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}(M_{\phi,\star}) \right\|_{\mathrm{L}^\infty(X, \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}))} = 0,$$

with

$$M_{\phi,\star} := \int_{X\times G} \mathrm{d}(\mu_X \otimes \mu_G)(x, g)\ \mathrm{Ad}_g\, M_\phi(x) = P_{\mathrm{Ad}} \left(\int_X \mathrm{d}\mu_X(x)\, M_\phi(x) \right). \tag{3.16}$$

Furthermore, $P_\phi M_\phi = M_{\phi,\star}$ μ_X-almost everywhere.

Proof. The condition $\phi \in C^1(X, G)$ implies that $M_\phi \in C(X, \mathfrak{g})$ and $M_{\pi\circ\phi} \in C(X, \mathfrak{g}_\pi)$. So, the strong integral

$$M_{\phi,\star} = \int_{X\times G} \mathrm{d}(\mu_X \otimes \mu_G)(x, g)\ \mathrm{Ad}_g\, M_\phi(x) \in \mathfrak{g}$$

exists. Define for $v \in \mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}$ the function

$$f_v : X \times G \to \mathbb{C}, \quad (x, g) \mapsto \big\langle v, \mathrm{Ad}_{\pi(g)}\, M_{\pi\circ\phi}(x) v \big\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}}.$$

We have $f_v \in C(X \times G)$, and (3.9) implies that

$$f_v(x, g) = \big\langle v, (\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big(\mathrm{Ad}_g\, M_\phi(x)\big) v \big\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}} \quad \text{for each } (x, g) \in X \times G.$$

So, it follows from the linearity and continuity of $(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{X\times G} \mathrm{d}(\mu_X \otimes \mu_G)(x, g)\, f_v(x, g) &= \int_{X\times G} \mathrm{d}(\mu_X \otimes \mu_G)(x, g) \big\langle v, (\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\big(\mathrm{Ad}_g\, M_\phi(x)\big) v \big\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}} \\
&= \left\langle v, (\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G} \left(\int_{X\times G} \mathrm{d}(\mu_X \otimes \mu_G)(x, g)\ \mathrm{Ad}_g\, M_\phi(x) \right) v \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}} \\
&= \big\langle v, (\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}(M_{\phi,\star}) v \big\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}}.
\end{aligned}$$

On another hand, a calculation as in (3.7) shows that

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \big(f_v \circ T_\phi^n\big)(x, g) = \left\langle v, \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathrm{Ad}_{\pi(g)} \big(W_{\pi\circ\phi}^n M_{\pi\circ\phi}\big)(x) v \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}} \quad \text{for each } (x, g) \in X \times G.$$

Therefore, we infer from the unique ergodicity of T_ϕ that for each $(x, g) \in X \times G$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{N\to\infty} \left\langle v, \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathrm{Ad}_{\pi(g)} \big(W_{\pi\circ\phi}^n M_{\pi\circ\phi}\big)(x) - (\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}(M_{\phi,\star}) \right) v \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}} \\
&= \lim_{N\to\infty} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \big(f_v \circ T_\phi^n\big)(x, g) - \int_{X\times G} \mathrm{d}(\mu_X \otimes \mu_G)(x, g)\, f_v(x, g) \right) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Setting $g = e_G$, we thus get for each $x \in X$

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \left\langle v, \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \big(W^n_{\pi\circ\phi} M_{\pi\circ\phi}\big)(x) - (\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}(M_{\phi,\star}) \right) v \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}} = 0. \tag{3.17}$$

Now, the extreme value theorem for continuous functions on compact sets implies the existence of $x_0 \in X$ and $v_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}$ with $\|v_0\|_{\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}} = 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} W^n_{\pi\circ\phi} M_{\pi\circ\phi} - (\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}(M_{\phi,\star}) \right\|_{\mathrm{L}^\infty(X, \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}))} \\
&= \sup_{x\in X} \sup_{v\in\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi},\, \|v\|_{\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}}=1} \left| \left\langle v, \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \big(W^n_{\pi\circ\phi} M_{\pi\circ\phi}\big)(x) - (\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}(M_{\phi,\star}) \right) v \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}} \right| \\
&= \left| \left\langle v_0, \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \big(W^n_{\pi\circ\phi} M_{\pi\circ\phi}\big)(x_0) - (\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}(M_{\phi,\star}) \right) v_0 \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}} \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we deduce from (3.17) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{N\to\infty} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} W^n_{\pi\circ\phi} M_{\pi\circ\phi} - (\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}(M_{\phi,\star}) \right\|_{\mathrm{L}^\infty(X, \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}))} \\
&= \lim_{N\to\infty} \left| \left\langle v_0, \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \big(W^n_{\pi\circ\phi} M_{\pi\circ\phi}\big)(x_0) - (\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}(M_{\phi,\star}) \right) v_0 \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}} \right| \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which proves the first equality in (3.16).

The second equality in (3.16) follows directly from the definition (3.14) of the operator P_{Ad}, and the fact that $P_\phi M_\phi = M_{\phi,\star}$ μ_X-almost everywhere can be shown as in the proof of Lemma 3.14. □

Lemma 3.15 furnishes, as a by-product, criteria for the non (unique) ergodicity of skew products T_ϕ which will be useful in applications. To state them, we need some additional notations. We denote by $[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ the Lie bracket of $\mathfrak{g}$, we write

$$z(\mathfrak{g}) := \big\{ Z \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [X, Z]_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0 \text{ for all } X \in \mathfrak{g} \big\}$$

for the center of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and we write $\big(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{Ad}}\big)^\perp$ for the orthocomplement of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{Ad}}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$.

Theorem 3.16 (Non ergodicity of T_ϕ)**.** *Assume that $\phi \in C^1(X, G)$ and that ϕ has nonzero degree $P_\phi M_\phi$, that is, $P_\phi M_\phi \neq 0$ on a set $X_0 \subset X$ with $\mu_X(X_0) > 0$.*

(a) If $\int_X \mathrm{d}\mu_X(x)\, M_\phi(x) \in \big(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{Ad}}\big)^\perp$, then T_ϕ is not uniquely ergodic.

(b) If G is connected and $z(\mathfrak{g}) = \{0\}$, then T_ϕ is not uniquely ergodic.

(c) If the assumptions of (a) or (b) are satisfied and if F_1 is uniquely ergodic, then T_ϕ is not ergodic.

Proof. (a) Suppose by absurd that T_ϕ is uniquely ergodic. Then, the inclusion $\int_X \mathrm{d}\mu_X(x)\, M_\phi(x) \in \big(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{Ad}}\big)^\perp$ and (3.16) imply for μ_X-almost every $x \in X_0$ that

$$0 \neq \big(P_\phi M_\phi\big)(x) = M_{\phi,\star} = P_{\mathrm{Ad}} \left(\int_X \mathrm{d}\mu_X(x)\, M_\phi(x) \right) = 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, T_ϕ is not uniquely ergodic.

(b) If G is connected, then the exponential map $\exp : \mathfrak{g} \to G$ is surjective and $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{Ad}} = z(\mathfrak{g})$ [7, Eq. 3.1.13]. Since $z(\mathfrak{g}) = \{0\}$, this implies that $\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{Ad}}\right)^\perp = \mathfrak{g}$. Thus $\int_X \mathrm{d}\mu_X(x)\, M_\phi(x) \in \left(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{Ad}}\right)^\perp$, and the claim follows from point (a).

(c) The claim follows from the fact that unique ergodicity and ergodicity are equivalent properties for T_ϕ if F_1 is uniquely ergodic (see [8, Thm. 4.21]). □

Remark 3.17. *(a) If G is connected, $\phi \in C^1(X, G)$, and T_ϕ is uniquely ergodic, then $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{Ad}} = z(\mathfrak{g})$ and (3.16) implies that the degree $P_\phi M_\phi = M_{\phi,\star}$ belongs to the center $z(\mathfrak{g})$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$:*

$$M_{\phi,\star} = P_{\mathrm{Ad}} \left(\int_X \mathrm{d}\mu_X(x)\, M_\phi(x) \right) \in z(\mathfrak{g}). \tag{3.18}$$

Furthermore, if $(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}$ is surjective, then it is known that the differential $(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}$ maps $z(\mathfrak{g})$ into the center $z(\mathfrak{g}_\pi)$ of $\mathfrak{g}_\pi$. So, (3.18) implies that $(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}(M_{\phi,\star}) \in z(\mathfrak{g}_\pi)$.

(b) If G is a connected and semisimple, then we have $z(\mathfrak{g}) = \{0\}$ [22, Prop. 1.13]. Thus, Theorem 3.16(b) implies that there does not exist uniquely ergodic skew products T_ϕ with $\phi \in C^1(X, G)$ and nonzero degree if G is a connected semisimple compact Lie group. This applies for example in the cases $G = \mathrm{SU}(n)$ and $G = \mathrm{SO}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ for $n \geq 2$. On the hand, if the assumptions of Theorem 3.16(a)-(b) are not satisfied, then skew products T_ϕ with $\phi \in C^1(X, G)$ and nonzero degree can be uniquely ergodic. This occurs for example in the case G is a torus (see [14, Thm. 2.1], [19, Cor. 3], [32, p. 8-9], and Section 4.1) or $G = \mathrm{U}(2)$ (see Section 4.4).

Using Lemmas 3.14 and 3.15, we obtain the following corollaries of Theorems 3.10 and 3.11.

Corollary 3.18. *Assume that $\phi \in C^1(X, G)$ and suppose either that F_1 is uniquely ergodic and $\pi \circ \phi$ is diagonal, or that T_ϕ is uniquely ergodic. Then, the strong limit $D_{\phi,\pi}$ exists and is equal to $i\,(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}(M_{\phi,\star})$, and*

(a) $\lim_{N\to\infty} \left\langle \varphi, \left(U_{\phi,\pi,j}\right)^N \psi \right\rangle = 0$ for each $\varphi \in \ker(D_{\phi,\pi})^\perp$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi)}$,

(b) $U_{\phi,\pi,j}\big|_{\ker(D_{\phi,\pi})^\perp}$ has purely continuous spectrum.

Proof. Direct consequence of Theorem 3.10 and Lemmas 3.14 and 3.15. □

Corollary 3.19. *Assume that*

(i) $\phi \in C^1(X, G)$,

(ii) F_1 is uniquely ergodic and $\pi \circ \phi$ is diagonal, or T_ϕ is uniquely ergodic,

(iii) $M_{\pi\circ\phi} \in C^{+0}\left(A_{D_{\phi,\pi}}\right)$,

(iv) $\det\left((\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}(M_{\phi,\star})\right) \neq 0$.

Then, $U_{\phi,\pi,j}$ has purely absolutely continuous spectrum.

Proof. The proof consists in verifying the assumptions of Theorem 3.11. In view of Lemmas 3.14 and 3.15, it is clear that assumptions (i)-(iii) imply assumptions (i)-(iv) of Theorem 3.11. Furthermore, since $(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\left((P_\phi M_\phi)(x)\right) = (\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}(M_{\phi,\star})$ for μ_X-almost every $x \in X$, it follows from the min-max theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\phi,\pi} &= \operatorname*{essinf}_{x\in X} \inf_{v\in\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi},\, \|v\|_{\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}}=1} \left\langle v, \left(i\,(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}\left((P_\phi M_\phi)(x)\right)\right)^2 v \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}} \\ &= \inf_{v\in\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi},\, \|v\|_{\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}}=1} \left\langle v, \left(i\,(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}(M_{\phi,\star})\right)^2 v \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}} \\ &= \text{minimal eigenvalue of } \left(i\,(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}(M_{\phi,\star})\right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

In consequence, assumption (iv) implies that $a_{\phi,\pi} > 0$, and thus all assumptions of Theorem 3.11 are satisfied. □

Remark 3.20. *When $D_{\phi,\pi}$ is equal to $i\,(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}(M_{\phi,\star})$ (as in Corollaries 3.18 and 3.19), one has an explicit formula for $\ker(D_{\phi,\pi})$. Indeed, let $q_{\phi,\pi} \in \mathrm{U}(d_\pi)$ be the unitary matrix which diagonalises the hermitian matrix $i\,(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}(M_{\phi,\star})$, that is,*

$$q_{\phi,\pi} \cdot i\,(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}(M_{\phi,\star}) \cdot q_{\phi,\pi}^{-1} = \mathrm{diag}\left(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{d_\pi}\right)$$

with $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{d_\pi}$ the eigenvalues of $i\,(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_G}(M_{\phi,\star})$. Then, the multiplication operator $Q_{\phi,\pi}$ in $\mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi)}$ given by

$$Q_{\phi,\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{d_\pi} \varphi_k \otimes \pi_{jk} = \sum_{k,\ell=1}^{d_\pi} (q_\pi)_{k\ell}\, \varphi_\ell \otimes \pi_{jk}, \quad \varphi_k \in \mathrm{L}^2(X, \mu_X), \tag{3.19}$$

is unitary, and a direct calculation shows that

$$\ker\left(Q_{\phi\pi} D_{\phi,\pi} Q_{\phi,\pi}^{-1}\right) = \bigoplus_{k \in \{1,\ldots,d_\pi\},\, \lambda_k = 0} \mathrm{L}^2(X, \mu_X) \otimes \{\pi_{jk}\}.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\ker(D_{\phi,\pi}) = Q_{\phi,\pi}^{-1} \ker\left(Q_{\phi\pi} D_{\phi,\pi} Q_{\phi,\pi}^{-1}\right) = Q_{\phi,\pi}^{-1} \left\{ \bigoplus_{k \in \{1,\ldots,d_\pi\},\, \lambda_k = 0} \mathrm{L}^2(X, \mu_X) \otimes \{\pi_{jk}\} \right\},$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \ker(D_{\phi,\pi})^\perp &= Q_{\phi,\pi}^{-1} \left\{ \bigoplus_{k \in \{1,\ldots,d_\pi\},\, \lambda_k = 0} \mathrm{L}^2(X, \mu_X) \otimes \{\pi_{jk}\} \right\}^\perp \\ &= Q_{\phi,\pi}^{-1} \left\{ \bigoplus_{k \in \{1,\ldots,d_\pi\},\, \lambda_k \neq 0} \mathrm{L}^2(X, \mu_X) \otimes \{\pi_{jk}\} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

due to the orthogonality relation (3.2).

To conclude the section, we recall a result in the case of translations on tori $\mathbb{T}^d := (\mathbb{S}^1)^d$ ($d \in \mathbb{N}^*$) which follows from [31, Lemma 3.7] and the proof of [20, Lemma 4]:

Lemma 3.21. *Assume that $X = \mathbb{T}^d$ ($d \in \mathbb{N}^*$), and let $\{F_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ be given by*

$$F_t(x) := \left(x_1\, \mathrm{e}^{2\pi i t \alpha_1}, \ldots, x_d\, \mathrm{e}^{2\pi i t \alpha_d}\right), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},\ x = (x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in \mathbb{T}^d,$$

for some $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\alpha_{k_0} \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ for some $k_0 \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$. Then, if $U_{\phi,\pi,j}$ has purely absolutely continuous spectrum, $U_{\phi,\pi,j}$ has Lebesgue spectrum with uniform countable multiplicity.

4 Examples

In this section, we apply the results of Section 3 in some examples. In each example, we present both general results and some more explicit results in particular cases. As a first application, we start the simple, but instructive, case where the cocycle ϕ takes values in a torus.

4.1 Cocycles with values in a torus

Assume that $G = \mathbb{T}^{d'}$ ($d' \in \mathbb{N}^*$). Then, $\mathfrak{g} = i\,\mathbb{R}^{d'}$, each representation $\pi^{(q)} \in \widehat{\mathbb{T}^{d'}}$ is a character of $\mathbb{T}^{d'}$ given by $\pi^{(q)}(y) := y_1^{q_1} \cdots y_{d'}^{q_{d'}}$ for $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_{d'}) \in \mathbb{T}^{d'}$ and $q = (q_1, \ldots, q_{d'}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d'}$, and

$$\mathcal{H}^{(q)} := \mathcal{H}_1^{(\pi^{(q)})} = \mathsf{L}^2(X, \mu_X) \otimes \{\pi^{(q)}\}.$$

If $\phi \in C^1(X, \mathbb{T}^{d'})$, Theorem 3.10 implies that the strong limit

$$D_{\phi,q} := D_{\phi,\pi^{(q)}} = \operatorname*{s-lim}_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \big[A, \big(U_{\phi,\pi^{(q)},1}\big)^N\big] \big(U_{\phi,\pi^{(q)},1}\big)^{-N}$$

exists and is equal to $i\big(\mathrm{d}\pi^{(q)}\big)_{e'}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(\cdot)\big)$ with $e' = e_{\mathbb{T}^{d'}} = (1, \ldots, 1)$, and $\lim_{N\to\infty} \big\langle \varphi, \big(U_{\phi,\pi^{(q)},1}\big)^N \psi\big\rangle = 0$ for each $\varphi \in \ker(D_{\phi,q})^\perp$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{H}^{(q)}$. Therefore, U_ϕ is mixing in the subspace

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{mix}} := \bigoplus_{q\in\mathbb{Z}^{d'}} \ker(D_{\phi,q})^\perp \subset \mathcal{H}. \tag{4.1}$$

In order to apply Corollaries 3.18 and 3.19, we make the following additional assumptions: F_1 is uniquely ergodic and

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t} \big\|\mathscr{L}_Y(\pi^{(q)} \circ \phi) \circ F_t - \mathscr{L}_Y(\pi^{(q)} \circ \phi)\big\|_{\mathsf{L}^\infty(X,\mu_X)} < \infty \quad \text{for each } q \in \mathbb{Z}^{d'}. \tag{4.2}$$

Under these assumptions, Corollary 3.18 implies that

$$D_{\phi,q} = i\big(\mathrm{d}\pi^{(q)}\big)_{e'}(M_{\phi,\star}) = i \int_X \mathrm{d}\mu_X(x)\, M_{\pi^{(q)}\circ\phi}(x) \in \mathbb{R}$$

with

$$M_{\phi,\star} = \int_X \mathrm{d}\mu_X(x)\, M_\phi(x) \in i\,\mathbb{R}^{d'} \quad \text{and} \quad M_{\pi^{(q)}\circ\phi} = \mathscr{L}_Y(\pi^{(q)} \circ \phi)(\pi^{(q)} \circ \phi)^{-1}.$$

In particular, we have

$$A_{D_{\phi,q}} = AD_{\phi,q} + D_{\phi,q}A = 2AD_{\phi,q},$$

which implies that $C^{+0}(A) \subset C^{+0}\big(A_{D_{\phi,q}}\big)$. So, in order to verify the assumption (iii) of Corollary 3.19 it is sufficient to check that $M_{\pi^{(q)}\circ\phi} \in C^{+0}(A)$. But, since $\phi \in C^1(X, \mathbb{T}^{d'})$, we have $(\pi^{(q)} \circ \phi)^{-1} \in C^1(A)$. So, it is sufficient to check that $\mathscr{L}_Y(\pi^{(q)} \circ \phi) \in C^{+0}(A)$, which follows directly from (4.2). Finally, since $D_{\phi,q} = i\big(\mathrm{d}\pi^{(q)}\big)_{e'}(M_{\phi,\star})$ is scalar, the assumption (iv) of Corollary 3.19 is equivalent to $D_{\phi,q} \neq 0$. So, Corollary 3.19 implies that $U_{\phi,\pi^{(q)},1}$ has purely absolutely continuous spectrum if $D_{\phi,q} \neq 0$, and thus that U_ϕ has purely absolutely continuous spectrum in the subspace

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ac}} := \bigoplus_{q\in\mathbb{Z}^{d'},\, D_{\phi,q}\neq 0} \mathcal{H}^{(q)} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{mix}}. \tag{4.3}$$

These results are new in this generality. They extend similar results in the particular case $X \times G = \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{T}^{d'}$, $d, d' \in \mathbb{N}^*$ (see for instance [2, 5, 11, 18, 19, 20, 24, 31, 32]). In that case, if the flow $\{F_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ on $X = \mathbb{T}^d$ is given by

$$F_t(x) := \big(x_1\, \mathrm{e}^{2\pi i t\alpha_1}, \ldots, x_d\, \mathrm{e}^{2\pi i t\alpha_d}\big), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},\ x = (x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in \mathbb{T}^d,$$

for some $\alpha = \big(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d\big) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d, 1$ rationally independent, one even obtains Lebesgue spectrum with uniform countable multiplicity due to Lemma 3.21.

4.2 Cocycles with values in SU(2)

Assume that

$$G = \mathrm{SU}(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_2 \\ -\overline{z_2} & \overline{z_1} \end{pmatrix} \mid z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C},\ |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = 1 \right\},$$
$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} is & z \\ -\overline{z} & -is \end{pmatrix} \mid s \in \mathbb{R},\ z \in \mathbb{C} \right\},$$
$$\langle Z_1, Z_2 \rangle_{\mathfrak{su}(2)} := \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(Z_1 Z_2^*\right), \quad Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathfrak{su}(2).$$

The set $\widehat{\mathrm{SU}(2)}$ of finite-dimensional IUR's of SU(2) can be described as follows. For each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, let V_ℓ be the $(\ell+1)$-dimensional vector space of homogeneous polinomials of degree ℓ in the variables $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Endow V_ℓ with the basis

$$p_j(\omega_1, \omega_2) := \omega_1^j \omega_2^{\ell - j}, \quad j \in \{0, \dots, \ell\},$$

and the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{V_\ell} : V_\ell \times V_\ell \to \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\left\langle \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \alpha_j p_j, \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \beta_k p_k \right\rangle_{V_\ell} := \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} j!(q-j)!\, \alpha_j \overline{\beta_j}, \quad \alpha_j, \beta_k \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Then, the function $\pi^{(\ell)} : \mathrm{SU}(2) \to \mathrm{U}(V_\ell) \simeq \mathrm{U}(\ell+1)$ given by

$$\big(\pi^{(\ell)}(g)p\big)(\omega_1, \omega_2) := p\big((\omega_1, \omega_2)g\big) = p\big(z_1\omega_1 - \overline{z_2}\omega_2, z_2\omega_1 + \overline{z_1}\omega_2\big) \tag{4.4}$$

for $g = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} z_1 & z_2 \\ -\overline{z_2} & \overline{z_1} \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathrm{SU}(2)$, $p \in V_\ell$, and $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ defines a $(\ell+1)$-dimensional IUR of SU(2) on V_ℓ, and each finite-dimensional IUR of SU(2) is unitarily equivalent to an element of the family $\{\pi^{(\ell)}\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$ [29, Prop. II.1.1 & Thm. II.4.1]. A calculation using the binomial theorem shows that the matrix elements of $\pi^{(\ell)}$ with respect to the basis $\{p_j\}_{j=0}^{\ell}$ are given by

$$\pi_{jk}^{(\ell)}(g) := \big\langle \pi^{(\ell)}(g)p_k, p_j \big\rangle_{V_\ell} = j!(\ell-j)! \sum_{m=0}^{k} \sum_{n=0}^{\ell-k} \binom{k}{m} \binom{\ell-k}{n} z_1^m \overline{z_1}^{\ell-k-n} z_2^n (-\overline{z_2})^{k-m} \delta_{j,m+n}, \tag{4.5}$$

with $j, k \in \{0, \dots, \ell\}$ and $\binom{\cdot}{\cdot}$ the binomial coefficients. In particular, in the case of diagonal elements $g = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} z_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{z_1} \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathrm{SU}(2)$, one obtains

$$\pi_{jk}^{(\ell)} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{z_1} \end{pmatrix} = j!(\ell-j)!\, z_1^{2j-\ell} \delta_{j,k}. \tag{4.6}$$

If $\phi \in C^1\big(X, \mathrm{SU}(2)\big)$, Theorem 3.10 implies that the strong limit

$$D_{\phi,\ell} := D_{\phi,\pi^{(\ell)}} = \operatorname*{s-lim}_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \big[A, \big(U_{\phi,\pi^{(\ell)},j}\big)^N\big] \big(U_{\phi,\pi^{(\ell)},j}\big)^{-N}$$

exists and is equal to $i\big(\mathrm{d}\pi^{(\ell)}\big)_{I_2}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(\cdot)\big)$ with $I_2 := \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) = e_{\mathrm{SU}(2)}$, and $\lim_{N\to\infty} \big\langle \varphi, \big(U_{\phi,\pi^{(\ell)},j}\big)^N \psi \big\rangle = 0$ for each $\varphi \in \ker(D_{\phi,\ell})^\perp$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi^{(\ell)})}$. Therefore, U_ϕ is mixing in the subspace

$$\bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \bigoplus_{j \in \{0,\dots,\ell\}} \ker(D_{\phi,\ell})^\perp \subset \mathcal{H}.$$

Now, since SU(2) is connected and semisimple, we know from Remark 3.17(b) that if T_ϕ uniquely ergodic then $P_\phi M_\phi$ is zero. So, assuming T_ϕ uniquely ergodic would not lead to additional results with

our methods. Therefore, to give a more explicit description of the continuous spectrum of U_ϕ, we assume instead that F_1 is ergodic. In this case, Remark 3.4 implies that there exists a constant $\rho_\phi \geq 0$ such that

$$\rho_\phi = \big\|\big(P_\phi M_\phi\big)(x)\big\|_{\mathfrak{su}(2)} \quad \text{for } \mu_X\text{-almost every } x \in X. \tag{4.7}$$

Moreover, the proof of Theorem 2.4 of [12] implies the following useful result (just replace in the proof of Theorem 2.4 of [12] the group $\mathbb{T}$ by the manifold X):

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that $\phi \in C^1\big(X, \mathrm{SU}(2)\big)$, that F_1 is ergodic, and that $\rho_\phi > 0$. Then, ϕ is cohomologous to a diagonal cocycle. More precisely, if $\big(P_\phi M_\phi\big)(x)$ is written as*

$$\big(P_\phi M_\phi\big)(x) = \begin{pmatrix} ia(x) & b(x)+ic(x) \\ -b(x)+ic(x) & -ia(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad a(x), b(x), c(x) \in \mathbb{R},$$

for μ_X-almost every $x \in X$, and if $\zeta : X \to \mathrm{SU}(2)$ is the measurable function given by

$$\zeta(x) := \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} i\sqrt{\frac{\rho_\phi + a(x)}{2\rho_\phi}}\,\frac{b(x)-ic(x)}{|b(x)+ic(x)|} & \sqrt{\frac{\rho_\phi - a(x)}{2\rho_\phi}} \\ -\sqrt{\frac{\rho_\phi - a(x)}{2\rho_\phi}} & -i\sqrt{\frac{\rho_\phi + a(x)}{2\rho_\phi}}\,\frac{b(x)+ic(x)}{|b(x)+ic(x)|} \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } |a(x)| \neq \rho_\phi, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } a(x) = -\rho_\phi, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } a(x) = \rho_\phi, \end{cases}$$

then $\delta(x) := \zeta(x)\phi(x)(\zeta \circ F_1)(x)^{-1} \in \mathrm{SU}(2)$ is diagonal for μ_X-almost every $x \in X$.

Remark 3.1 and Lemma 4.1 imply that the Koopman operators U_ϕ and U_δ are unitarily equivalent, and thus have the same spectral properties. But, since the cocycle $\delta : X \to \mathrm{SU}(2)$ is diagonal, it is more convenient to work with U_δ. Indeed, since $\delta : X \to \mathrm{SU}(2)$ is diagonal, (4.6) implies that $\pi^{(\ell)} \circ \delta$ is diagonal for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, we can use Lemma 3.14 to determine the explicit form of the function $P_\delta M_\delta$:

Lemma 4.2. *Assume that $\phi, \zeta \in C^1\big(X, \mathrm{SU}(2)\big)$, that F_1 is uniquely ergodic, and that $\rho_\phi > 0$. Then,*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} W^n_{\pi^{(\ell)}\circ\delta} M_{\pi^{(\ell)}\circ\delta} - \big(\mathrm{d}\pi^{(\ell)}\big)_{I_2}(M_{\delta,\star}) \right\|_{\mathrm{L}^\infty(X;\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{\ell+1}))} = 0$$

with $M_{\delta,\star} := \int_X \mathrm{d}\mu_X(x)\, M_\delta(x)$. Furthermore, $P_\delta M_\delta = M_{\delta,\star} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} i\rho_\phi & 0 \\ 0 & -i\rho_\phi \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ μ_X-almost everywhere.

Proof. Since $\phi, \zeta \in C^1\big(X, \mathrm{SU}(2)\big)$, we have $\delta \in C^1\big(X, \mathrm{SU}(2)\big)$. So, we can apply Lemma 3.14 with ϕ replaced by δ to obtain that

$$P_\delta M_\delta = M_{\delta,\star} = \int_X \mathrm{d}\mu_X(x)\, M_\delta(x)$$

μ_X-almost everywhere. Now, since $M_\delta(x)$ is diagonal and belongs to $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ for each $x \in X$, there exists $s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\int_X \mathrm{d}\mu_X(x)\, M_\delta(x) = \begin{pmatrix} is & 0 \\ 0 & -is \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, it only remains to show that $s = \rho_\phi$ to conclude. For this, we note that (3.11) and (4.7) imply for μ_X-almost every $x \in X$ that

$$(\rho_\phi)^2 = \big\|\big(P_\phi M_\phi\big)(x)\big\|^2_{\mathfrak{su}(2)} = \big\|\big(P_\delta M_\delta\big)(x)\big\|^2_{\mathfrak{su}(2)} = \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}\left(\begin{pmatrix} is & 0 \\ 0 & -is \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} is & 0 \\ 0 & -is \end{pmatrix}^*\right) = s^2.$$

Since $\rho_\phi > 0$, we thus obtain that $s = \rho_\phi$ as desired. □

Equation (4.6) and Lemma 4.2 imply that

$$D_{\delta,\ell} := D_{\delta,\pi^{(\ell)}} = \operatorname*{s-lim}_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N}\big[A, \big(U_{\delta,\pi^{(\ell)},j}\big)^N\big]\big(U_{\delta,\pi^{(\ell)},j}\big)^{-N} = i\big(\mathrm{d}\pi^{(\ell)}\big)_{I_2}\left(\begin{pmatrix} i\rho_\phi & 0 \\ 0 & -i\rho_\phi \end{pmatrix}\right)$$

with

$$i\big(\mathrm{d}\pi^{(\ell)}\big)_{I_2}\left(\begin{pmatrix} i\rho_\phi & 0 \\ 0 & -i\rho_\phi \end{pmatrix}\right)_{j,k} = i\,\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0} \pi^{(\ell)}_{j,k}\left(\mathrm{e}^{t\begin{pmatrix} i\rho_\phi & 0 \\ 0 & -i\rho_\phi \end{pmatrix}}\right) = j!(\ell-j)!\,\rho_\phi(\ell-2j)\,\delta_{j,k}.$$

So, we deduce from Remark 3.20 that

$$\begin{aligned} \ker(D_{\delta,\ell})^\perp &= \bigoplus_{k\in\{0,\dots,\ell\},\, 2k\neq\ell} \mathsf{L}^2(X,\mu_X)\otimes\big\{\pi^{(\ell)}_{jk}\big\} \\ &= \begin{cases} \displaystyle\bigoplus_{k\in\{0,\dots,\ell\}\setminus\{\ell/2\}} \mathsf{L}^2(X,\mu_X)\otimes\big\{\pi^{(\ell)}_{jk}\big\} & \text{if } \ell\in 2\mathbb{N}, \\ \mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi^{(\ell)})} & \text{if } \ell\in 2\mathbb{N}+1. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Combining what precedes with Corollary 3.18, we obtain the following result for the mixing property of the Koopman operator U_δ :

Theorem 4.3. *Assume that $\phi,\zeta\in C^1\big(X,\mathrm{SU}(2)\big)$, that F_1 is uniquely ergodic, and that $\rho_\phi>0$. Then,*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\big\langle\varphi,\big(U_{\delta,\pi^{(\ell)},j}\big)^N\psi\big\rangle = 0 \quad \textit{for each } \varphi\in\ker(D_{\delta,\ell})^\perp \textit{ and } \psi\in\mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi^{(\ell)})},$$

with $\ker(D_{\delta,\ell})^\perp$ given by (4.8). In particular, U_δ is mixing in the subspace

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{mix}} := \left(\bigoplus_{\ell\in2\mathbb{N}}\bigoplus_{j\in\{0,\dots,\ell\}}\bigoplus_{k\in\{0,\dots,\ell\}\setminus\{\ell/2\}} \mathsf{L}^2(X,\mu_X)\otimes\big\{\pi^{(\ell)}_{jk}\big\}\right)\bigoplus\left(\bigoplus_{\ell\in2\mathbb{N}+1}\bigoplus_{j\in\{0,\dots,\ell\}}\mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi^{(\ell)})}\right)\subset\mathcal{H}.$$

Using the unitary equivalence of U_ϕ and U_δ, one deduces from Theorem 4.3 a criterion for the mixing property of the Koopman operator U_ϕ (just apply Lemma 3.8(d)). We refer to [12, Thm. 5.3] for a related result of K. Frączek in the particular case $X=\mathbb{T}$ and

$$F_t(x) := x\,\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i t\alpha}, \quad t\in\mathbb{R},\ x\in\mathbb{T},\ \alpha\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\mathbb{Q}.$$

Under an additional regularity assumption of Dini-type on the functions $\mathscr{L}_Y(\pi^{(\ell)}\circ\phi)$ and $\mathscr{L}_Y(\pi^{(\ell)}\circ\zeta)$, one can show that U_δ has purely absolutely continuous spectrum in an appropriate subspace of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{mix}}$:

Theorem 4.4. *Assume that $\phi,\zeta\in C^1\big(X,\mathrm{SU}(2)\big)$, that F_1 is uniquely ergodic, that $\rho_\phi>0$, and that*

$$\int_0^1\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t}\,\big\|\mathscr{L}_Y(\pi^{(\ell)}\circ\phi)_{jk}\circ F_t-\mathscr{L}_Y(\pi^{(\ell)}\circ\phi)_{jk}\big\|_{\mathsf{L}^\infty(X,\mu_X)}<\infty \tag{4.9}$$

and

$$\int_0^1\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t}\,\big\|\mathscr{L}_Y(\pi^{(\ell)}\circ\zeta)_{jk}\circ F_t-\mathscr{L}_Y(\pi^{(\ell)}\circ\zeta)_{jk}\big\|_{\mathsf{L}^\infty(X,\mu_X)}<\infty \tag{4.10}$$

for each $\ell\in2\mathbb{N}+1$ and $j,k\in\{0,\dots,\ell\}$. Then, U_δ has purely absolutely continuous spectrum in the subspace

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ac}} := \bigoplus_{\ell\in2\mathbb{N}+1}\bigoplus_{j\in\{0,\dots,\ell\}}\mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi^{(\ell)})}\subset\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{mix}}.$$

Proof. We apply Corollary 3.19 with ϕ replaced by δ. For this, we first note that assumptions (i)-(ii) of Corollary 3.19 are trivially satisfied. For assumption (iii), we have for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \in \{0, \dots, \ell\}$

$$A_{D_{\delta,\ell}} = AD_{\delta,\ell} + D_{\delta,\ell}A = 2AD_{\delta,\ell},$$

with $D_{\delta,\ell}$ the multiplication operator by the constant diagonal matrix

$$i\big(\mathrm{d}\pi^{(\ell)}\big)_{I_2}\left(\begin{pmatrix} i\rho_\phi & 0 \\ 0 & -i\rho_\phi \end{pmatrix}\right) = \rho_\phi \begin{pmatrix} 0!(\ell-0)!\,(\ell-2\cdot 0) & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \ell!(\ell-\ell)!\,(\ell-2\cdot\ell) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, $C^{+0}(A) \subset C^{+0}\big(A_{D_{\delta,\ell}}\big)$ and it is sufficient to check that

$$M_{\pi^{(\ell)}\circ\delta} = \mathscr{L}_Y(\pi^{(\ell)}\circ\delta)\cdot(\pi^{(\ell)}\circ\delta)^{-1} \in C^{+0}(A).$$

Now, $\pi^{(\ell)}\circ\delta \in C^1\big(X;\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{C}^{\ell+1})\big)$ since $\phi, \zeta \in C^1\big(X, \mathrm{SU}(2)\big)$. So, $(\pi^{(\ell)}\circ\delta)^{-1} \in C^1(A) \subset C^{+0}(A)$ (see [1, Prop. 5.1.6(a)]), and we only have to check that $\mathscr{L}_Y(\pi^{(\ell)}\circ\delta) \in C^{+0}(A)$ (see [1, Prop. 5.2.3(b)]). But, since

$$\pi^{(\ell)}\circ\delta = (\pi^{(\ell)}\circ\zeta)\cdot(\pi^{(\ell)}\circ\phi)\cdot(\pi^{(\ell)}\circ\zeta\circ F_1)^{-1},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathscr{L}_Y(\pi^{(\ell)}\circ\delta) \\
&= \mathscr{L}_Y(\pi^{(\ell)}\circ\zeta)\cdot(\pi^{(\ell)}\circ\phi)\cdot(\pi^{(\ell)}\circ\zeta\circ F_1)^{-1} + (\pi^{(\ell)}\circ\zeta)\cdot\mathscr{L}_Y(\pi^{(\ell)}\circ\phi)\cdot(\pi^{(\ell)}\circ\zeta\circ F_1)^{-1} \\
&\quad - (\pi^{(\ell)}\circ\zeta)\cdot(\pi^{(\ell)}\circ\phi)\cdot(\pi^{(\ell)}\circ\zeta\circ F_1)^{-1}\cdot\mathscr{L}_Y(\pi^{(\ell)}\circ\zeta\circ F_1)\cdot(\pi^{(\ell)}\circ\zeta\circ F_1)^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

with $(\pi^{(\ell)}\circ\phi), (\pi^{(\ell)}\circ\zeta), (\pi^{(\ell)}\circ\zeta\circ F_1)^{-1} \in C^1(A)$. Thus, in the end it is sufficient to check that $\mathscr{L}_Y(\pi^{(\ell)}\circ\zeta)$, $\mathscr{L}_Y(\pi^{(\ell)}\circ\phi)$ and $\mathscr{L}_Y(\pi^{(\ell)}\circ\zeta\circ F_1)$ belong to $C^{+0}(A)$, which follows from (4.9)-(4.10).

Finally, we have for each $\ell \in 2\mathbb{N}+1$ and $j \in \{0, \dots, \ell\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\det\Big(i\big(\mathrm{d}\pi^{(\ell)}\big)_{I_2}(M_{\delta,\star})\Big) &= \det\left(\rho_\phi \begin{pmatrix} 0!(\ell-0)!\,(\ell-2\cdot 0) & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \ell!(\ell-\ell)!\,(\ell-2\cdot\ell) \end{pmatrix}\right) \\
&= (\rho_\phi)^{\ell+1}\prod_{j=0}^{\ell} j!(\ell-j)!\,(\ell-2j) \\
&\neq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the last assumption of Corollary 3.19 is satisfied, and thus the claim follows from Corollary 3.19. □

Using the unitary equivalence of U_ϕ and U_δ, one deduces from Theorem 4.4 the absolute continuity of the continuous spectrum of the Koopman operator U_ϕ in the subspace

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ac}} = \bigoplus_{\ell\in 2\mathbb{N}+1}\ \bigoplus_{j\in\{0,\dots,\ell\}} \mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi^{(\ell)})} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{mix}}.$$

This result extends a similar result of K. Frączek (see [12, Cor. 6.5]) in the particular case $X = \mathbb{T}$ and

$$F_t(x) := x\,\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i t\alpha}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},\ x \in \mathbb{T},\ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\mathbb{Q}.$$

4.3 Cocycles with values in $\mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R})$

Let $\mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{R})$ be the set of 3×3 invertible real matrices, let $I_3 := \left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&0&0\\0&1&0\\0&0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$, and assume that

$$G = \mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R}) = \left\{g \in \mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{R}) \mid g^\top g = I_3,\ \det(g) = 1\right\},$$
$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(3,\mathbb{R}) = \left\{Z \in \mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{R}) \mid Z^\top = -Z\right\},$$
$$\langle Z_1, Z_2\rangle_{\mathfrak{so}(3,\mathbb{R})} := \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}\left(Z_1 Z_2^\top\right), \quad Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathfrak{so}(3,\mathbb{R}).$$

The set $\widehat{\mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R})}$ of finite-dimensional IUR's of $\mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R})$ can be described as follows. For each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, let W_ℓ be the $\frac{1}{2}(\ell+1)(\ell+2)$-dimensional vector space of homogeneous polinomials of degree ℓ in the variables $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{R}$, and let H_ℓ be the $(2\ell+1)$-dimensional vector space of harmonic polynomials of degree ℓ, that is,

$$H_\ell := \left\{f \in W_\ell \mid \triangle f = 0\right\}$$

with $\triangle$ the Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^3$. Endow H_ℓ with the scalar product

$$\left\langle f_1, f_2\right\rangle_{H_\ell} := \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \mathrm{d}\omega\, f_1(\omega)\overline{f_2(\omega)},$$

where $\mathrm{d}\omega$ is the normalised Lebesgue measure on the 2-sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$ (that is, $\mathrm{d}\omega = (4\pi)^{-1}\sin\theta\,\mathrm{d}\theta\,\mathrm{d}\varphi$ in spherical coordinates). Then, the family $\{\Upsilon_{\ell j}\}_{j=-\ell}^{\ell} \subset H_\ell$ of harmonic polynomials given in spherical coordinates by

$$\Upsilon_{\ell j}(r,\theta,\varphi) := r^\ell\, \mathrm{e}^{ij\varphi}\,\Theta_{\ell j}(\theta), \quad r \geq 0,\ \theta \in [0,\pi],\ \varphi \in [0,2\pi),$$

with

$$\begin{cases} \Theta_{\ell j}(\theta) := (-1)^j \sqrt{\dfrac{(2\ell+1)(\ell-j)!}{(\ell+j)!}}\,\sin^j\theta\,\dfrac{\mathrm{d}^j}{\mathrm{d}(\cos\theta)^j}\,P_\ell(\cos\theta) & (j \geq 0) \\ \Theta_{\ell,-j}(\theta) := (-1)^j \Theta_{\ell j}(\theta) \end{cases}$$

and

$$P_\ell(\cos\theta) := \frac{1}{2^\ell\,\ell!}\frac{\mathrm{d}^\ell}{\mathrm{d}(\cos\theta)^\ell}\left(\cos^2\theta - 1\right)^\ell,$$

is an orthonormal basis of H_ℓ [25, Sec. B.10]. Furthermore, the function $\pi^{(\ell)} : \mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R}) \to \mathrm{U}(H_\ell) \simeq \mathrm{U}(2\ell+1)$ given by

$$\left(\pi^{(\ell)}(g)f\right)(x) := f(g^{-1}x), \quad g \in \mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R}),\ f \in H_\ell,\ x \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

defines a $(2\ell+1)$-dimensional IUR of $\mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R})$ on H_ℓ, and each finite-dimensional IUR of $\mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R})$ is unitarily equivalent to an element of the family $\{\pi^{(\ell)}\}_{\ell\in\mathbb{N}}$ (see [29, Thm. II.7.2] and [34, Sec. IX.1-2]). Moreover, if $\mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R})$ is parameterised by the Euler angles, that is, if the elements $g \in \mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R})$ are written as a product of three rotations

$$g = \{\alpha,\beta,\gamma\} := \begin{pmatrix}\cos\alpha & \sin\alpha & 0\\ -\sin\alpha & \cos\alpha & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\cos\beta & 0 & -\sin\beta\\ 0 & 1 & 0\\ \sin\beta & 0 & \cos\beta\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\cos\gamma & \sin\gamma & 0\\ -\sin\gamma & \cos\gamma & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}$$

with $\alpha,\gamma \in [0,2\pi]$ and $\beta \in [0,\pi]$, then the matrix elements of $\pi^{(\ell)}$ with respect to the basis $\{\Upsilon_{\ell j}\}_{j=-\ell}^{\ell}$ are given by [36, Eq. 15.5 & 15.27]

$$\begin{aligned}&\pi^{(\ell)}_{jk}(\{\alpha,\beta,\gamma\})\\ &:= \left\langle \pi^{(\ell)}(\{\alpha,\beta,\gamma\})\Upsilon_{\ell,k}, \Upsilon_{\ell j}\right\rangle_{H_\ell}\\ &= \sum_m (-1)^m \frac{\sqrt{(\ell+k)!\,(\ell-k)!\,(\ell+j)!\,(\ell-j)!}}{(\ell-j-m)!\,(\ell+k-m)!\,m!\,(m+j-k)!}\,\mathrm{e}^{i(j\alpha+k\gamma)}\cos^{2\ell+k-j-2m}(\beta/2)\sin^{2m+j-k}(\beta/2)\end{aligned}$$

with $j, k \in \{-\ell, \dots, \ell\}$ and the sum over the values $m \in \mathbb{N}$ for which the factorial arguments are equal or greater than zero. In the particular case where g is a rotation of angle α about the x_3-axis, that is, $g = \{\alpha, 0, 0\}$, one obtains [36, Eq. 15.6]

$$\pi^{(\ell)}_{jk}(\{\alpha, 0, 0\}) = \mathrm{e}^{ij\alpha}\, \delta_{jk}. \tag{4.11}$$

If $\phi \in C^1\big(X, \mathrm{SO}(3, \mathbb{R})\big)$, Theorem 3.10 implies that the strong limit

$$D_{\phi,\ell} := D_{\phi,\pi^{(\ell)}} = \operatorname*{s-lim}_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \big[A, \big(U_{\phi,\pi^{(\ell)},j}\big)^N\big] \big(U_{\phi,\pi^{(\ell)},j}\big)^{-N}$$

exists and is equal to $i\big(\mathrm{d}\pi^{(\ell)}\big)_{I_3}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(\cdot)\big)$, and $\lim_{N\to\infty} \big\langle \varphi, \big(U_{\phi,\pi^{(\ell)},j}\big)^N \psi\big\rangle = 0$ for each $\varphi \in \ker(D_{\phi,\ell})^\perp$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi^{(\ell)})}$. Therefore, U_ϕ is mixing in the subspace

$$\bigoplus_{\ell\in\mathbb{N}} \bigoplus_{j\in\{-\ell,\dots,\ell\}} \ker(D_{\phi,\ell})^\perp \subset \mathcal{H}.$$

Now, since $\mathrm{SO}(3, \mathbb{R})$ is a connected and semisimple, we know from Remark 3.17(b) that there is no uniquely ergodic skew product T_ϕ with $\phi \in C^1\big(X, \mathrm{SO}(3, \mathbb{R})\big)$ and nonzero degree $P_\phi M_\phi$ (even though there are T_ϕ with $\phi \in C^1\big(X, \mathrm{SO}(3, \mathbb{R})\big)$ which are uniquely ergodic, see [9, 17, 26]). So, assuming T_ϕ uniquely ergodic does not lead to additional results with our methods. On another hand, one can assume that F_1 is uniquely ergodic and $\pi \circ \phi$ diagonal, and then apply Lemma 3.14, Corollary 3.18 and Corollary 3.19 to get a more explicit description of the continuous spectrum of U_ϕ. Thanks to (4.11), this can be done for example in the case the cocycle ϕ takes values in the maximal torus T given by rotations about the x_3-axis:

$$T := \big\{\{\alpha, 0, 0\} \mid \alpha \in [0, 2\pi]\big\} \subset \mathrm{SO}(3, \mathbb{R}).$$

We leave the details of the calculations in this case to the reader.

4.4 Cocycles with values in U(2)

As a final example, we consider the case where the cocycle ϕ takes values in the group U(2). But, we emphasize that one could perfectly go on with examples since the technics of this article can a priori be applied to cocycles ϕ taking values in any compact Lie group.

Assume that

$$G = \mathrm{U}(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_2 \\ -\mathrm{e}^{i\theta}\overline{z_2} & \mathrm{e}^{i\theta}\overline{z_1} \end{pmatrix} \mid \theta \in [0, 2\pi),\ z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C},\ |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = 1 \right\},$$

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u}(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} is_1 & z \\ -\overline{z} & is_2 \end{pmatrix} \mid s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R},\ z \in \mathbb{C} \right\},$$

$$\langle Z_1, Z_2\rangle_{\mathfrak{u}(2)} := \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}\left(Z_1 Z_2^*\right), \quad Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathfrak{u}(2).$$

The set $\widehat{\mathrm{U}(2)}$ of finite-dimensional IUR's of U(2) can be described as follows. The map

$$\mathbb{T} \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \ni (z, g) \mapsto zg \in \mathrm{U}(2)$$

is an epimorphism with kernel $\{(1, I_2), (-1, -I_2)\}$. Therefore, the elements of $\widehat{\mathrm{U}(2)}$ are given (up to unitary equivalence) as tensors products $\{\rho_{2m-\ell} \otimes \pi^{(\ell)}\}_{\ell\in\mathbb{N}, m\in\mathbb{Z}}$, with $\pi^{(\ell)} : \mathrm{SU}(2) \to \mathrm{U}(V_\ell) \simeq \mathrm{U}(\ell + 1)$ the IUR of SU(2) on V_ℓ defined in (4.4) and $\rho_m : \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{U}(1) = \mathbb{T}$ the IUR of $\mathbb{S}^1$ on $\mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\rho_m(z)\omega := z^m\omega, \quad z \in \mathbb{T},\ \omega \in \mathbb{C}.$$

(The indices $2m-\ell$ and ℓ add to $2m$ so that $(-1,-I_2)$ belongs to the kernel of $\rho_{2m-\ell}\otimes\pi^{(\ell)}$, see [4, Sec. II.5]). This implies that the set $\widehat{\mathrm{U}(2)}$ coincides with the family $\{\pi^{(\ell,m)}\}_{\ell\in\mathbb{N},m\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of representations $\pi^{(\ell,m)}:\mathrm{U}(2)\to\mathrm{U}(\mathbb{C}\otimes V_\ell)\simeq\mathrm{U}(\ell+1)$ given by

$$\pi^{(\ell,m)}(zg):=\rho_{2m-\ell}(z)\otimes\pi^{(\ell)}(g),\quad z\in\mathbb{T},\ g\in\mathrm{SU}(2).$$

The matrix elements of $\pi^{(\ell,m)}$ with respect to the basis $\{1\otimes p_j\}_{j=0}^{\ell}$ of $\mathbb{C}\otimes V_\ell$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\pi^{(\ell,m)}_{jk}(zg)&:=\big\langle\big(\rho_{2m-\ell}(z)\otimes\pi^{(\ell)}(g)\big)(1\otimes p_k),1\otimes p_j\big\rangle_{\mathbb{C}\otimes V_\ell}\\&=\big\langle z^{2m-\ell},1\big\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}\big\langle\pi^{(\ell)}(g)p_k,p_j\big\rangle_{V_\ell}\\&=z^{2m-\ell}\,\pi^{(\ell)}_{jk}(g),\end{aligned}\tag{4.12}$$

with $j,k\in\{0,\dots,\ell\}$, $z\in\mathbb{T}$, $g\in\mathrm{SU}(2)$, and $\pi^{(\ell)}_{jk}(g)$ as in (4.5).

If $\phi\in C^1\big(X;\mathrm{U}(2)\big)$, Theorem 3.10 implies that the strong limit

$$D_{\phi,\ell,m}:=D_{\phi,\pi^{(\ell,m)}}=\operatorname*{s-lim}_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N}\big[A,\big(U_{\phi,\pi^{(\ell,m)}\,j}\big)^N\big]\big(U_{\phi,\pi^{(\ell,m)}\,j}\big)^{-N}$$

exists and is equal to $i\big(\mathrm{d}\pi^{(\ell,m)}\big)_{I_2}\big((P_\phi M_\phi)(\,\cdot\,)\big)$, and $\lim_{N\to\infty}\big\langle\varphi,\big(U_{\phi,\pi^{(\ell,m)}\,j}\big)^N\psi\big\rangle=0$ for each $\varphi\in\ker(D_{\phi,\ell,m})^\perp$ and $\psi\in\mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi^{(\ell,m)})}$. Therefore, U_ϕ is mixing in the subspace

$$\bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\bigoplus_{\ell\in\mathbb{N}}\bigoplus_{j\in\{0,\dots,\ell\}}\ker(D_{\phi,\ell,m})^\perp\subset\mathcal{H}.$$

To give a more explicit description of the continuous spectrum of U_ϕ, we assume from now on that T_ϕ is uniquely ergodic (another possibility would be to assume F_1 uniquely ergodic and $\pi^{(\ell,m)}\circ\phi$ diagonal as in Lemma 3.14; we refer to [31, Sec. 4.3] for results in that direction when $X=\mathbb{T}^d$). In this case, Lemma 3.15 and Remark 3.17(a) imply that $D_{\phi,\ell,m}=i\big(\mathrm{d}\pi^{(\ell,m)}\big)_{I_2}(M_{\phi,\star})$ with

$$M_{\phi,\star}=P_{\mathrm{Ad}}\left(\int_X\mathrm{d}\mu_X(x)\,M_\phi(x)\right)\in z\big(\mathfrak{u}(2)\big),$$

where $z\big(\mathfrak{u}(2)\big)=\{isI_2\mid s\in\mathbb{R}\}$ is the center of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}(2)$. Therefore, $M_{\phi,\star}=is_\phi I_2$ for some $s_\phi\in\mathbb{R}$, and we obtain from (4.6) and (4.12) that

$$\begin{aligned}i\big(\mathrm{d}\pi^{(\ell,m)}\big)_{I_2}(M_{\phi,\star})_{j,k}&=i\,\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0}\pi^{(\ell,m)}_{j,k}\big(\mathrm{e}^{its_\phi I_2}\big)\\&=i\,\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0}\mathrm{e}^{its_\phi(2m-\ell)}\,\pi^{(\ell)}_{jk}(I_2)\\&=-s_\phi(2m-\ell)\,j!(\ell-j)!\,\delta_{j,k}.\end{aligned}$$

So, we deduce from Remark 3.20 that

$$\ker(D_{\phi,\ell,m})^\perp=\bigoplus_{k\in\{0,\dots,\ell\},\,s_\phi(2m-\ell)\neq0}\mathrm{L}^2(X,\mu_X)\otimes\big\{\pi^{(\ell,m)}_{jk}\big\}=\begin{cases}\mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi^{(\ell,m)})}&\text{if } s_\phi(2m-\ell)\neq0\\\{0\}&\text{if } s_\phi(2m-\ell)=0.\end{cases}\tag{4.13}$$

Combining what precedes with Corollary 3.18, we obtain the following result for the mixing property of the Koopman operator U_ϕ :

Theorem 4.5. *Assume that $\phi\in C^1\big(X,\mathrm{U}(2)\big)$ and that T_ϕ is uniquely ergodic. Then,*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\big\langle\varphi,\big(U_{\phi,\pi^{(\ell,m)}\,j}\big)^N\psi\big\rangle=0\quad\textit{for each }\varphi\in\ker(D_{\phi,\ell,m})^\perp\textit{ and }\psi\in\mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi^{(\ell)})},$$

with $\ker(D_{\phi,\ell,m})^\perp$ *given by* (4.13)*. In particular, if* $s_\phi \neq 0$*, then* U_ϕ *is mixing in the subspace*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{mix}} := \bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus_{\ell\in\mathbb{N}\setminus\{2m\}} \bigoplus_{j\in\{0,\dots,\ell\}} \mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi^{(\ell,m)})} \subset \mathcal{H}.$$

Under an additional regularity assumption of Dini-type on the matrix-valued functions $\mathscr{L}_Y(\pi^{(\ell,m)}\circ\phi)$, one can show that U_ϕ has in fact purely absolutely continuous spectrum in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{mix}}$:

Theorem 4.6. *Assume that* $\phi \in C^1\big(X, \mathrm{U}(2)\big)$*, that* T_ϕ *is uniquely ergodic, that* $s_\phi \neq 0$*, and that*

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t} \left\| \mathscr{L}_Y(\pi^{(\ell,m)}\circ\phi)_{jk}\circ F_t - \mathscr{L}_Y(\pi^{(\ell,m)}\circ\phi)_{jk} \right\|_{\mathrm{L}^\infty(X,\mu_X)} < \infty \tag{4.14}$$

for each $m\in\mathbb{Z}$*,* $\ell\in\mathbb{N}\setminus\{2m\}$ *and* $j,k\in\{0,\dots,\ell\}$*. Then,* U_ϕ *has purely absolutely continuous spectrum in the subspace*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{mix}} = \bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus_{\ell\in\mathbb{N}\setminus\{2m\}} \bigoplus_{j\in\{0,\dots,\ell\}} \mathcal{H}_j^{(\pi^{(\ell,m)})} \subset \mathcal{H}.$$

Proof. The claim follows from an application of Corollary 3.19. Since the assumptions (i), (ii) and (iv) of Corollary 3.19 are easily verified under our hypotheses, we only explain how to verify the assumption (iv), that is, the inclusion

$$M_{\pi^{(\ell,m)}\circ\phi} = \mathscr{L}_Y(\pi^{(\ell,m)}\circ\phi)\cdot(\pi^{(\ell,m)}\circ\phi)^{-1} \in C^{+0}\big(A_{D_{\phi,\ell,m}}\big).$$

Since $D_{\phi,\ell,m}$ is the multiplication operator by the constant diagonal matrix $i\big(\mathrm{d}\pi^{(\ell,m)}\big)_{I_2}(M_{\phi,\star})$, the operator $A_{D_{\phi,\ell,m}}$ satisfies

$$A_{D_{\phi,\ell,m}} = AD_{\phi,\ell,m} + D_{\phi,\ell,m}A = 2AD_{\phi,\ell,m}.$$

Thus, $C^{+0}(A) \subset C^{+0}\big(A_{D_{\phi,\ell,m}}\big)$. Furthermore, the assumption $\phi\in C^1\big(X,\mathrm{U}(2)\big)$ implies that $(\pi^{(\ell,m)}\circ\phi)^{-1}\in C^1(A)$ (see [1, Prop. 5.1.6(a)]). Therefore, the inclusion $M_{\pi^{(\ell,m)}\circ\phi}\in C^{+0}\big(A_{D_{\phi,\ell,m}}\big)$ is satisfied if $\mathscr{L}_Y(\pi^{(\ell,m)}\circ\phi)\in C^{+0}(A)$ (see [1, Prop. 5.2.3(b)]), which in turn follows from (4.14). □

In the rest of the section, we present an explicit example of skew-product T_ϕ satisfying the assumptions of Theorems 4.5 and 4.6, namely, a skew-product T_ϕ with $\phi\in C^\infty\big(\mathbb{T}^d;\mathrm{U}(2)\big)$ $(d\in\mathbb{N}^*)$, T_ϕ uniquely ergodic, and nonzero degree $M_{\phi,\star}$.

Example 4.7. *It is known that there exists a Lie group isomorphism*

$$h : \mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R})\times\mathbb{T}\to\mathrm{U}(2).$$

Therefore, if we find a cocycle $\delta\in C^\infty\big(\mathbb{T}^d,(\mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R})\times\mathbb{T})\big)$ *with associated skew product*

$$T_\delta : \mathbb{T}^d\times(\mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R})\times\mathbb{T})\to\mathbb{T}^d\times(\mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R})\times\mathbb{T}),\quad \big(x,(g,z)\big)\mapsto\big(F_1(x),(g,z)\delta(x)\big),$$

uniquely ergodic and with $M_{\delta,\star}\neq 0$*, then the skew product*

$$T_\phi : \mathbb{T}^d\times\mathrm{U}(2)\to\mathbb{T}^d\times\mathrm{U}(2),\quad (x,\widetilde{g})\mapsto\big(F_1(x),\widetilde{g}\,\phi(x)\big),\quad \text{with}\quad \phi := h\circ\delta\in C^\infty\big(\mathbb{T}^d,\mathrm{U}(2)\big),$$

will also be uniquely ergodic and with nonzero degree $M_{\phi,\star}\neq 0$ *(the first fact is standard and the second fact follows from Lemma 3.8(a)). So, we restrict our attention to the construction of the skew-product* T_δ*.*

We know from the works of L. H. Eliasson and X. Hou (see [9, Sec. 1] and [17, Thm. 1.2]) that there exist vectors $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_d)\in\mathbb{R}^d$ *satisfying a Diophantine condition and cocycles* $\delta_1\in C^\infty\big(\mathbb{T}^d,\mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R})\big)$ *such that the skew product*

$$T_{\delta_1} : \mathbb{T}^d\times\mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R})\to\mathbb{T}^d\times\mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R}),\quad (x,g)\mapsto\big(x_1\,\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\alpha_1},\dots,x_d\,\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\alpha_d},g\,\delta_1(x)\big),$$

is uniquely ergodic and admits a C^∞ flow $\{F_{1,t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$. Using α and δ_1, we define the cocyle

$$\delta : \mathbb{T}^d \to \mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{T}, \quad x \mapsto \big(\delta_1(x), x_1\big),$$

and the skew product

$$\begin{aligned} T_\delta : \mathbb{T}^d \times \big(\mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{T}\big) &\to \mathbb{T}^d \times \big(\mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{T}\big), \\ \big(x,(g,z)\big) &\mapsto \big(x_1\,\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\alpha_1}, \dots, x_d\,\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\alpha_d}, (g,z)\,\delta(x)\big) \\ &= \big(x_1\,\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\alpha_1}, \dots, x_d\,\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\alpha_d}, \big(g\,\delta_1(x), z x_1\big)\big). \end{aligned}$$

We also define the cocycle

$$\gamma : \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{T}, \quad (x,g) \mapsto x_1,$$

and the skew product

$$\begin{aligned} T_\gamma : \big(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R})\big) \times \mathbb{T} &\to \big(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R})\big) \times \mathbb{T}, \\ \big((x,g),z\big) &\mapsto \big(T_{\delta_1}(x,g), z\,\gamma(x,g)\big) = \big(\big(x_1\,\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\alpha_1}, \dots, x_d\,\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\alpha_d}, g\,\delta_1(x)\big), z x_1\big), \end{aligned}$$

and note that

$$T_\delta\big(x,(g,z)\big) = T_\gamma\big((x,g),z\big) \quad \text{for each } x \in \mathbb{T}^d,\ g \in \mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R}),\ z \in \mathbb{T},$$

due to the identification $\mathbb{T}^d \times \big(\mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{T}\big) = \big(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R})\big) \times \mathbb{T}$. So, it is sufficient to show that T_γ is uniquely ergodic and with nonzero degree $M_{\gamma,\star} \neq 0$.

Since T_{δ_1} is uniquely ergodic and $\pi \circ \gamma$ is scalar for each representation $\pi \in \widehat{\mathbb{T}}$, we can apply Lemma 3.14 to obtain for $\big(\mu_{\mathbb{T}^d} \otimes \mu_{\mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R})}\big)$-almost every $(x,g) \in \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R})$ that

$$\big(P_\gamma M_\gamma\big)(x,g) = M_{\gamma,\star} = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R})} \mathrm{d}\big(\mu_{\mathbb{T}^d} \otimes \mu_{\mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R})}\big)(x,g)\, M_\gamma(x,g)$$

with $M_\gamma(x,g)$ given by

$$M_\gamma(x,g) = \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0} \gamma\big(F_{1,t}(x)\big)\right) \cdot \gamma(x,g)^{-1} = \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0} x_1\,\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i t\alpha_1}\right) \cdot x_1^{-1} = 2\pi i\alpha_1.$$

Thus, $M_{\gamma,\star} = 2\pi i\alpha_1 \neq 0$ since $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\mathbb{Q}$. Therefore, it only remains to show that T_γ is uniquely ergodic. For this, we first note that since $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\mathbb{Q}$, the results of Section 4.1 (see (4.3)) imply that T_γ has purely absolutely continuous spectrum in the orthocomplement of the functions depending only on the variables in $\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R})$. Therefore, any eigenvector of U_γ with eigenvalue 1, that is, any function $\psi \in \mathrm{L}^2\big(\big(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R})\big) \times \mathbb{T}, \mu_{\mathbb{T}^d} \otimes \mu_{\mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R})} \otimes \mu_{\mathbb{T}}\big)$ such that $U_\gamma\psi = \psi$ must satisfy $\psi = \eta \otimes 1$ for some $\eta \in \mathrm{L}^2\big(\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R}), \mu_{\mathbb{T}^d} \otimes \mu_{\mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R})}\big)$. So,

$$U_\gamma\psi = \psi \ \Leftrightarrow\ U_\gamma(\eta\otimes 1) = \eta\otimes 1 \ \Leftrightarrow\ U_{\delta_1}\eta = \eta,$$

and thus η is an eigenvector of U_{δ_1} with eigenvalue 1. It follows that η is constant $\big(\mu_{\mathbb{T}^d} \otimes \mu_{\mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R})}\big)$-almost everywhere due to the ergodicity of T_{δ_1}. Therefore, ψ is constant $\big(\mu_{\mathbb{T}^d} \otimes \mu_{\mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R})} \otimes \mu_{\mathbb{T}}\big)$-almost everywhere, and T_γ is ergodic. This implies that T_γ is uniquely ergodic because unique ergodicity and ergodicity are equivalent properties for skew products such as T_γ (see [8, Thm. 4.21]).

References

[1] W. O. Amrein, A. Boutet de Monvel, and V. Georgescu. *C_0-groups, commutator methods and spectral theory of N-body Hamiltonians*, volume 135 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1996.

[2] H. Anzai. Ergodic skew product transformations on the torus. *Osaka Math. J.*, 3:83–99, 1951.

[3] A. Bonfiglioli, E. Lanconelli, and F. Uguzzoni. *Stratified Lie groups and potential theory for their sub-Laplacians*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin, 2007.

[4] T. Bröcker and T. tom Dieck. *Representations of compact Lie groups*, volume 98 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.

[5] G. H. Choe. *SPECTRAL PROPERTIES OF COCYCLES*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1987. Thesis (Ph.D.)–University of California, Berkeley.

[6] I. P. Cornfeld, S. V. Fomin, and Y. G. Sinaĭ. *Ergodic theory*, volume 245 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982. Translated from the Russian by A. B. Sosinskiĭ.

[7] J. J. Duistermaat and J. A. C. Kolk. *Lie groups*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.

[8] M. Einsiedler and T. Ward. *Ergodic theory with a view towards number theory*, volume 259 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag London Ltd., London, 2011.

[9] L. H. Eliasson. Ergodic skew-systems on $\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R})$. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 22(5):1429–1449, 2002.

[10] C. Fernández, S. Richard, and R. Tiedra de Aldecoa. Commutator methods for unitary operators. *J. Spectr. Theory*, 3(3):271–292, 2013.

[11] K. Frączek. Circle extensions of $\mathbf{Z}^d$-rotations on the d-dimensional torus. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 61(1):139–162, 2000.

[12] K. Frączek. On cocycles with values in the group $\mathrm{SU}(2)$. *Monatsh. Math.*, 131(4):279–307, 2000.

[13] K. Frączek. On the degree of cocycles with values in the group $\mathrm{SU}(2)$. *Israel J. Math.*, 139:293–317, 2004.

[14] H. Furstenberg. Strict ergodicity and transformation of the torus. *Amer. J. Math.*, 83:573–601, 1961.

[15] P. Gabriel, M. Lemańczyk, and P. Liardet. Ensemble d'invariants pour les produits croisés de Anzai. *Mém. Soc. Math. France (N.S.)*, (47):102, 1991.

[16] B. Hall. *Lie groups, Lie algebras, and representations*, volume 222 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, Cham, second edition, 2015. An elementary introduction.

[17] X. Hou. Ergodicity, minimality and reducibility of cocycles on some compact groups. *Taiwanese J. Math.*, 15(3):1247–1259, 2011.

[18] A. Iwanik. Anzai skew products with Lebesgue component of infinite multiplicity. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 29(2):195–199, 1997.

[19] A. Iwanik. Spectral properties of skew-product diffeomorphisms of tori. *Colloq. Math.*, 72(2):223–235, 1997.

[20] A. Iwanik, M. Lemańczyk, and D. Rudolph. Absolutely continuous cocycles over irrational rotations. *Israel J. Math.*, 83(1-2):73–95, 1993.

[21] N. Karaliolios. *Global aspects of the reducibility of quasiperiodic cocycles in semisimple compact Lie groups*. PhD thesis, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, 2013. https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-00777911/document.

[22] A. W. Knapp. *Lie groups beyond an introduction*, volume 140 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, second edition, 2002.

[23] U. Krengel. *Ergodic theorems*, volume 6 of *de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics*. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1985. With a supplement by Antoine Brunel.

[24] A. G. Kushnirenko. Spectral properties of certain dynamical systems with polynomial dispersal. *Moscow Univ. Math. Bull.*, 29(1):82–87, 1974.

[25] A. Messiah. *Quantum mechanics. Vol. I.* Translated from the French by G. M. Temmer. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam; Interscience Publishers Inc., New York, 1961.

[26] M. G. Nerurkar. On the construction of smooth ergodic skew-products. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 8(2):311–326, 1988.

[27] M. Reed and B. Simon. *Methods of modern mathematical physics. I.* Academic Press Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York, second edition, 1980. Functional analysis.

[28] S. Richard and R. Tiedra de Aldecoa. Commutator criteria for strong mixing II. More general and simpler. http://arxiv.org/abs/1510.00201.

[29] M. Sugiura. *Unitary representations and harmonic analysis*, volume 44 of *North-Holland Mathematical Library*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, second edition, 1990. An introduction.

[30] T. Tao. *An introduction to measure theory*, volume 126 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011.

[31] R. Tiedra de Aldecoa. The absolute continuous spectrum of skew products of compact Lie groups. *Israel J. Math.*, 208(1):323–350, 2015.

[32] R. Tiedra de Aldecoa. Commutator criteria for strong mixing. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, Online First, 2015.

[33] R. Tiedra de Aldecoa. Commutator methods for the spectral analysis of uniquely ergodic dynamical systems. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 35(3):944–967, 2015.

[34] N. J. Vilenkin. *Special functions and the theory of group representations*. Translated from the Russian by V. N. Singh. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 22. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R. I., 1968.

[35] J. Weidmann. *Linear operators in Hilbert spaces*, volume 68 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980. Translated from the German by Joseph Szücs.

[36] E. P. Wigner. *Group theory and its application to the quantum mechanics of atomic spectra*. Expanded and improved ed. Translated from the German by J. J. Griffin. Pure and Applied Physics. Vol. 5. Academic Press, New York-London, 1959.